SUBMITTED TO: Michael Baker International 165 S. Union Blvd., Unit 1000 Lakewood, CO 80228



BY: Shannon & Wilson 1321 Bannock Street, Ste. 200 Denver, CO 80204

(303)825-3800 www.shannonwilson.com

GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT Beacon Lite Road Improvements EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO



SHANNON & WILSON

April 2022 Shannon & Wilson No: 104129-001

Submitted To: Michael Baker International 165 S. Union Blvd., Unit 1000 Lakewood, CO 80228 Attn: Eric King, PE

Subject: GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN REPORT, BEACON LITE ROAD IMPROVEMENTS, EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

This report presents the results of our geotechnical study and recommendations for improvements to the Beacon Lite Road south of the County Line intersection. The report was prepared by Shannon & Wilson as a subconsultant to Michael Baker International.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have questions concerning this report, or we may be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely,

SHANNON & WILSON, INC.



David Asunskis, PE Associate

DAV:DAA/daa/jma

1	Intr	Introduction		
2	Site	Site and Project Description1		
3	Fiel	Field Explorations and Laboratory Testing2		
4	Subsurface Conditions			3
	4.1	Geolo	gic Setting	3
	4.2	Beaco	n Lite Road Pavement Subgrade	3
	4.3	Throu	ıgh-Cut	4
	4.4	Emba	nkment Fill Areas	5
	4.5	Grou	ndwater	5
5	Con	clusion	s and Recommendations	5
	5.1	Emba	nkments and Permanent Slopes	5
		5.1.1	Fill Slopes	5
		5.1.2	Permanent Cut Slopes	5
		5.1.3	Erosion Control	6
		5.1.4	Temporary Cuts	6
	5.2	Paver	nent Design	7
		5.2.1	Swelling Soil and Bedrock	7
		5.2.2	Subgrade Conditions	7
		5.2.3	Traffic Loading	8
		5.2.4	Recommended Pavement Section	8
	5.3	Sulfat	es and Corrosion	8
6	Con	structio	on Considerations	9
	6.1	Earth	work	9
		6.1.1	Excavation Potential	9
		6.1.2	Subgrade Preparation, Moisture Treatment, and Compaction10	0
		6.1.3	Pavement Subgrade Soft Spot Repair10	0
	6.2	Pavin	g Materials1	1
		6.2.1	Hot Mix Asphalt1	1
		6.2.2	Aggregate Base Course (ABC) Materials1	1
7	Plar	n Reviev	w and Construction Observation	1

CONTENTS

	7.1	Plan Review	11
	7.2	Construction Monitoring	12
8	Limi	tations	12
9	Refe	rences	13

Exhibits

Exhibit 4-1: Generalized Pavement Subgrade Conditions (Sta. 100+00 to 125+00)	3
Exhibit 4-2: Generalized Pavement Subgrade Conditions (Sta. 125+00 to 155+00)	4
Exhibit 4-3: Through-Cut Generalized Subsurface Profile	4
Exhibit 5-1: Pavement Sections	8
Exhibit 5-2: Corrosivity Ratings Based on Soil Resistivity	9

Figures

Figure 1:	Vicinity Map
Figure 2:	Site and Exploration Plan

Appendices

Appendix A: Subsurface Explorations Appendix B: Laboratory Test Results Appendix C: Pavement Design Calculations Appendix D: Conceptual Roadway Plans Important Information

1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents our geotechnical and pavement design recommendations for El Paso County's proposed improvements to Beacon Lite Road located north of Monument, Colorado; refer to Figure 1. This report summarizes our subsurface explorations and laboratory testing, presents recommendations for permanent cut slopes and pavements, and presents construction considerations associated with the geotechnical and pavement aspects of The Project. Our services were conducted in general accordance with our October 4, 2019 subconsultant agreement and subsequent scope of work modifications with Michael Baker International (Michael Baker). We prepared a previous geotechnical report addressing the intersection of Beacon Lite Road and County Line Road (Shannon & Wilson, 2020).

2 SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Beacon Lite Road is located approximately 500 to 1,000 feet west of I-25, traversing Black Forest Divide Pass (also locally known as Monument Hill) from south to north. The Project consists of improving an approximately one-mile-long segment of Beacon Lite Road between Wakonda Way and County Line Road; refer to Figure 2. Beacon Lite Road, which is maintained by El Paso County, consists of a single lane in each direction and is surfaced with aggregate.

The basis of our understanding of the proposed improvements to Beacon Lite Road are provided in the conceptual plans provided in Appendix D (Michael Baker, 2020b). Based on conceptual improvement plans and electronic topographic files provided by Michael Baker (2021), the grade of Beacon Lite Road increases from approximately 7,202 feet at Wakonda Way to approximately 7,370 feet at the tie-in to the County Line Road. The proposed improvements along Beacon Lite Road are to smooth the horizontal and vertical roadway profile.

Between approximately Sta. 104+00 and 110+00, the current alignment of Beacon Lite Road is a relatively tight radius curve that traverses around a hill. The existing hill slopes vary from approximately 10 horizontal to 1 vertical (10H:1V) to 5H:1V (Michael Baker, 2021). As shown in Figure 2, the roadway will be realigned in this area using a through-cut. The cut will be approximately 600 feet long with a maximum height of 32 feet and have side slopes of 3H:1V. The existing alignment of Beacon Lite Road has exposed rock cuts (up to 5 feet high). Bedrock in the cut appears to be raveling, which results in accumulations of loose, sandy material forming flatter surfaces.

Between Sta. 117+00 and Sta. 128+50, a grade raise is proposed. A maximum fill height of 15 feet is proposed. We anticipate the fill material will consist of material generated from the cut from Sta. 104+00 to 110+00. Embankment slopes are anticipated to be a maximum of 3H:1V.

The proposed roadway section for Beacon Lite Road will consist of one northbound and one southbound 12-foot-wide lane with two 6-foot-wide shoulders with curb and gutter. The roadway will be paved with hot mix asphalt (HMA).

3 FIELD EXPLORATIONS AND LABORATORY TESTING

Shannon & Wilson conducted a subsurface exploration program over five days from April 4, 2020 to July 16, 2020. The subsurface exploration program was completed concurrently with our investigation for the intersection of Beacon Lite Road and County Line Road. The subsurface investigation consisted of drilling and sampling twenty-one borings; refer to Figure 2 for locations of our subsurface explorations. Borings were designated SW-01 through SW-24. The proposed boring SW-07 was not completed due to access limitations. Proposed borings SW-14 and SW-15 were not completed due to underground utility conflicts. Borings SW-02 through SW-06 were completed approximately in the proposed realignment locations on private property. Right of entry for these boring locations were provided by Michael Baker. Borings were staked and located for horizontal position with a mapping grade GPS.

Borings SW-24 through SW-29, and the supporting laboratory data, were presented in our 2020 geotechnical report (Shannon & Wilson, 2020). For completeness, boring SW-24 and the corresponding laboratory data are included with this report.

Appendix A presents a discussion of the drilling, sampling, and testing procedures used in completing the borings. Appendix A also presents the individual exploration logs and an explanation of the symbols and terminology used.

Geotechnical laboratory tests were completed on selected samples retrieved from the borings to estimate index and engineering properties. Tests included natural water content, grain size distribution, Atterberg limits, one-dimensional swell/consolidation, Hveem Stabilometer (R-value), and corrosion. Laboratory test methods and results are provided in Appendix B. The natural water content, fines content, and Atterberg limits are also shown on the individual boring logs included in Appendix A.

4 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

4.1 Geologic Setting

A pair of regional geologic maps of the area (Thorson, 2003; Thorson and Madole, 2004) indicate that the bedrock geology along the project alignment consists of Eocene-age (33.9 to 56 million years old) Dawson Formation bedrock, specifically facies unit five (TKda5), which consists of arkosic sandstone with paleosols and occasional claystone interbeds. Subsurface conditions encountered in our explorations were generally similar to those mapped by Thorson (2003) and Thorson & Madole (2004).

4.2 Beacon Lite Road Pavement Subgrade

Anticipated subgrade conditions at the pavement subgrade elevation along the alignment are summarized in Exhibits 4-1 and 4-2. Subgrade conditions generally are anticipated to consist of Dakota Formation sandstone and soil derived from Dakota Formation sandstone (residuum). The observed subsurface conditions consisted of:

- Silty, clayey sand to silty sand with varying amount of gravel (AASHTO A-1-b and A-2-4 soils). Laboratory testing indicates the subgrade soils have either non-plastic fines or plasticity index (PI) values of 7 or less, with a fines content ranging from 18 to 28 percent.
- Clayey sand with trace to few gravel (AASHTO A-2-6 soils with the exception of borings SW-16 [A-2-7] and SW-18 [A-6]). Laboratory testing of subgrade soils indicate PI values of ranging 12 to 36 with a fines content ranging from 12 to 36 percent.



Exhibit 4-1: Generalized Pavement Subgrade Conditions (Sta. 100+00 to 125+00)





4.3 Through-Cut

At the proposed through-cut, borings SW-02 through SW-06 encountered relatively shallow bedrock at depths ranging from 3 to 6 feet. The proposed cut soils in the approximately upper 20 to 30 feet consisted of extremely weak, highly to moderately weathered, weakly cemented sandstone. The sandstone was interbedded with extremely weak claystone seams/layers typically less than 5 feet thick. It is unclear from the data if these layers are continuous between borings. The approximate claystone seams/layers locations are indicated in Exhibit 4-3.



Exhibit 4-3: Through-Cut Generalized Subsurface Profile

4.4 Embankment Fill Areas

Borings SW-11 though SW-13 and SW-16 are located in the vicinity of the proposed fill area (Sta. 117+00 and Sta. 128+50). Borings SW-11 and SW-16 (the southern and northern extent of the fill area, respectively) each encountered residual bedrock overlying sandstone bedrock at 4.5 feet. Overburden soils encountered in these borings consisted of loose to medium dense sand, similar to the subgrade conditions described in Section 4.1. Bedrock was not encountered in borings SW-12 and SW-13.

4.5 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the borings during drilling. Fluctuations of groundwater levels are possible and will depend on many factors, including seasonal variations, local precipitation, and water levels in nearby drainage structures. Nevertheless, we do not anticipate that groundwater will impact the design or construction of the project.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Embankments and Permanent Slopes

5.1.1 Fill Slopes

For the proposed roadway embankment side slopes, we recommend fill slopes not exceed 3H:1V for maintenance, erosion control and slope stability.

We estimate a total embankment settlement of 1 to 2 inches at locations where embankment fill heights are up to 15 feet. We anticipate that approximately 3/4 of the settlement will occur as the fill is placed. The remaining settlement is anticipated to occur within a few months after the completion of fill placement.

5.1.2 Permanent Cut Slopes

We understand that proposed cut slopes in overburden soils are anticipated to be nominal in height (generally less than 5 feet) and are planned at a maximum slope of 3H:1V. In our opinion, this will provide a generally accepted factor of safety (FS) of 1.3 or greater (CDOT Geotechnical Design Manual, 2017). We recommend planting vegetation to reduce the potential for erosion to occur on the slopes.

The proposed through-cut indicated in Section 4.3 will predominately be in Dakota Formation Sandstone. The proposed cut could be excavated as steep as 2H:1V to meet the global stability requirements provided in the CDOT Geotechnical Design Manual (2017) in the absence of groundwater. However, as discussed in Section 5.1.3, flatter slopes may be desirable to reduce erosion and long-term maintenance. Regardless, the upper 5 feet of the slope (in the overburden soils) should be sloped at a maximum of 3H:1V. If seeps are encountered during construction, we should be notified to reevaluate the slope stability.

5.1.3 Erosion Control

Due to the weak cementation of the sandstone bedrock, cuts in Dakota Formation Sandstone are anticipated to be highly erodible. Grading and drainage should be designed to reduce the flow of water over the face of the slopes. Feasible alternatives for erosion control can vary based on the initial construction costs, as well as the desired post-construction effort for the County (consisting of higher maintenance). These alternatives include:

- Installing a permanent cut slope at a flat enough angle (3H:1V or flatter) such that little maintenance would be required.
- Using a steeper slope (2H:1V) with a mid-slope bench and wide toe ditch. The bench should be graded to divert water and direct flow to a ditch on the side of the bench. Ditches should include appropriate erosion control measures. Regardless, the upper 5 feet of the slope in soil should be installed at a flatter angle (3H:1V).
- Cutting the lower portion of the through-cut at a 2H:1V angle and protecting the slope with erosion control measures. Regardless, the upper 5 feet of the slope in soil should be installed at a flatter angle (3H:1V).
- Cutting the slope at a 2H:1V angle with the anticipation of regular maintenance.

In addition, relatively fast-growing vegetation or other erosion control features should be considered in all areas of on the through-cut slopes.

5.1.4 Temporary Cuts

Temporary excavations could be required. The Contractor will be responsible to evaluate the stability of temporary slope and excavation configurations that may be required during construction.

The safe slope for the excavation of subsurface materials depends on many factors, including: (1) the presence of groundwater; (2) the type, density, and shear strength of the subsurface materials; (3) the depth of excavation; (4) the presence of adjacent structures; (5) surcharge loading adjacent to the excavation (including excavated material, existing dead or live loads, and construction equipment); and (6) time of construction.

To the extent that temporary excavation slopes are feasible, we recommend 1.5H:1V slopes be used for cost estimating and planning purposes only and limited to slopes up to 20 feet in height. Due to the presence of claystone seams/layers, steeper slopes are not recommended. Flatter slopes would likely be required if groundwater is encountered during excavation. If temporary slopes will be made, they should be consistent with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) guidelines contained in 29 CFR 1926, Subpart P.

Consistent with conventional practice, the Contractor should be responsible for the actual temporary excavation slopes and shoring, including methods, sequence, and schedule of construction. The Contractor is able to observe the nature and conditions of the subsurface materials encountered and should evaluate the factors discussed above. If instability is observed, slopes should be flattened or shored. All excavations should be compliant with local, state, and federal safety regulations.

5.2 Pavement Design

We understand that Beacon Lite Road will be paved with HMA. Our pavement design and results are based on the design procedures presented in the 1993 AASHTO Guide for the Design of Pavement Structures with guidance from the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (2016). The following sections summarize our pavement analysis and design assumptions (pavement design inputs and calculations are presented in Appendix C). Of note, the pavement analysis for Beacon Lite Road provided in Appendix C is unmodified from the pavement analysis provided in our 2020 geotechnical report.

5.2.1 Swelling Soil and Bedrock

Swell-susceptible soil and rock are common along the Front Range of Colorado. To assist us in determining the swell potential and along the roadway alignment, we reviewed a published geologic map of potentially swelling soil and rock in the Colorado Springs/Castle Rock area developed by Hart (1974). The mapping indicates that the project alignment has a low swell potential, which is characteristic of the Dawson Formation sandstone.

To further evaluate the roadway subgrade for swell potential, we performed four swell tests on overburden clayey sand subgrade. All tests indicated nil swell. As such, in our opinion, the risk of swell-related damage to the project pavements is low.

5.2.2 Subgrade Conditions

Based on our explorations, soils below the proposed pavements will vary along the alignment. Typically, clayey sand (AASHTO A-2-6 and A-6) was observed, but cleaner sands and silty sands were also observed (A-1-b and A-2-4). Fill soils generated from Dawson Formation sandstone or scarified and recompacted sandstone are generally anticipated to classify as A-2-6 soils.

The subgrade strength for the project was evaluated by R-value testing on the subgrade material. R-value testing was completed on A-2-6 soil generated from borings SW-17 and SW-24 with results of 30.1 and 24.8, respectively. For our analysis, we used a design subgrade R-value of 25.

5.2.3 Traffic Loading

Based on discussions with Michael Baker, Beacon Lite Road is classified as a rural minor collector. Current and projected traffic loading was provided in the Michael Baker traffic study of the Project area (2020a). The Project traffic study provided directional average daily traffic (ADT) values along the proposed pavement improvement areas. For design, we used a 2020 and 2040 NB Beacon Lite Road ADT value of 450 vehicles per day.

Although the Project traffic study indicates 1.6% to 2.0% truck traffic for the project alignment, the El Paso County, Engineering Criteria Manual, requires pavements be designed for a minimum of 4% truck traffic for rural minor collectors. For our analysis, we assumed a 1 to 1 ratio of single unit trucks (vehicle classes 4 through 7) and combination unit trucks (vehicle classes 8 through 13). To determine the 20-year design life 18-kip equivalent single axle loading (ESAL), we used Colorado truck equivalency factors for flexible pavements provided in the CDOT 2020 M-E Pavement Design Manual (2019a). We calculated a design ESAL traffic loading of 182,000 for Beacon Lite Road.

5.2.4 Recommended Pavement Section

Exhibit 5-1 summarizes our recommend pavement sections.

Exhibit 5-1: Pavement Sections

Pavement Section ¹			
Roadway	HMA Surface Lift	HMA Bottom Lift	ABC ²
Beacon Lite Road	1.5 in. SX	2.5 in. S	8

NOTES:

1 S and SX refer to the HMA gradation. Refer to Section 6.2.

2 CDOT Class 6 ABC material.

ABC = Aggregate Base Course, in. = inches

5.3 Sulfates and Corrosion

The soil and bedrock materials in Colorado area can be corrosive to substructure elements. To assist in estimating the corrosion potential at the site, samples of clayey sand and sandstone were tested for pH, resistivity, water soluble sulfates, and chlorides. The results are presented in Table B-1 in Appendix B. Based on correlations developed by Roberge (2012) (summarized in Exhibit 5-2), the resistivity measured in the sample varied from 6,650 to 485 ohm-centimeters, which suggests a moderately to extremely corrosive subsurface. The concentration of water-soluble sulfates was 0.01% or not detected (less than 100 parts per million). Based on standard construction specifications prepared by CDOT, these test results correspond to Class 0 requirements for sulfate resistance (CDOT, 2019b).

The test results and the above discussion are provided to assist the designer in the selection of project materials, concrete type, or other features with respect to corrosion. As appropriate, the designer should consider protective measures, such as coatings, upsizing for section loss, or using alternative materials to reduce the corrosion potential.

Soil Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Corrosivity Rating
> 20,000	Essentially noncorrosive
10,000 – 20,000	Mildly corrosive
5,000 - 10,000	Moderately corrosive
3,000 – 5,000	Corrosive
1,000 – 3,000	Highly corrosive
< 1,000	Extremely corrosive

Exhibit 5-2: Corrosivity Ratings Based on Soil Resistivity

6 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

The applicability of the above design parameters is contingent on good construction practice. Poor construction techniques may alter conditions from those upon which our recommendations are based, and therefore result in poor performance. Our analyses assumed that The Project is constructed according to El Paso County, Pikes Peak Region, CDOT construction standards and specifications (2019). The following sections provide additional construction considerations for this project.

6.1 Earthwork

6.1.1 Excavation Potential

We anticipate that excavation of overburden soil and shallow sandstone bedrock can be accomplished with conventional excavating equipment, such as dozers, front-end loaders, or scrapers. We do not anticipate blasting will be required for rock excavation. However, excavation in fresh rock could be slow at times and require the use of hydraulic excavators and dozers with ripper attachments.

6.1.2 Subgrade Preparation, Moisture Treatment, and Compaction

Proper subgrade preparation is required for adequate pavement performance. We recommend that CDOT standard specifications for earthwork be utilized for this project (CDOT, 2019b).

We recommend the bottom 8 inches of exposed subgrade in at-grade or cut areas be scarified in place, moisture treated, and recompacted as indicated below. The compacted surface should then be proof-rolled with a fully-loaded, tandem-axle, 10-yard dump truck or equivalent. Areas that are identified as being loose, soft, or yielding during proof-rolling should be compacted in place, removed and reconditioned, or replaced with granular fill material (material with less than 30% fines and a PI of less than 10). If loose, soft, or yielding soils are encountered after over-excavating 2 feet, a geogrid (Tensar biaxial grid BX1200, Tensar triaxial TX5, or equivalent product) should be installed at the base of the excavation before backfilling with granular fill. Care should be taken during proof-rolling and subgrade preparation to avoid disturbing subgrade soils and supporting soils that will remain in place, as they can rut and pump under repeated construction traffic. Additionally, subgrades should be protected from drying or wetting in excess of what is required to achieve the specified compaction requirements.

All fill material should be free of organics, contaminants, debris, and rock fragments larger than 3 inches. Fill material should be placed in horizontal lifts and compacted to a dense and unyielding condition following Section 203 of the CDOT Standard Construction Specifications (2019b). Granular soils that are encountered (AASHTO soil classification A-1, A-2 and A-3), should be compacted within 2% of optimum moisture content and recompacted to at least 95% of the maximum dry density as determined by AASHTO T99. We recommend that cohesive soils (AASHTO soil classification A-4, A-6 and A-7), if encountered, be compacted to 0% to 2% above optimum moisture content and recompacted to at least 95% AASHTO T99 (standard compaction effort).

6.1.3 Pavement Subgrade Soft Spot Repair

The soils along the proposed pavement subgrade, as well as fill soils generated from cuts in Dawson Formations bedrock can be prone to pumping during construction if exposed to elevated moistures and repeated loading from heavy equipment, in particular in widenings. Using newly placed fill as access roads (haul roads) that is placed wet of optimum or shortly after precipitation (particularly in rainy seasons) could require contractor re-working of the subgrade because of pumping or rutting conditions. To reduce the quantity of 'soft spot repair' or 'muck excavation' from the project quantities, The Project contract documents should make the Contractor responsible for protecting the fill that has been placed (protecting from surface water accumulating and ponding the haul road subgrade or

limiting construction traffic on the haul road). In our experience, the material excavated from these failing proof rolls, can be re-used if allowed to dry and it is re-compacted (as described in Section 6.1.3). The Contractor should be responsible for the expense of hauling away fill from soft spots and importing higher quality fill unless explicitly approved by the County. On-site representation could be used to make this determination and document decisions made during construction (refer to Section 7.2)

6.2 Paving Materials

6.2.1 Hot Mix Asphalt

We understand that El Paso County follows the Pikes Peak Region Asphalt Paving Specifications (2019) for HMA paving material mix design standards. Based on the anticipated traffic loading, the pavement mix design for The Project should be a performance graded binder of PG 58-28. We recommend a gyratory number of 75 be used for the mix design. In addition, we recommend using a Grade SX (½-inch nominal maximum aggregate size [NMAS]) mix for the surface layer of HMA and Grade S (¾-inch NMAS) for the underlying lift. Exhibit 5-1 provides our recommended lift thicknesses for The Project. A tack coat should be placed between subsequent lifts if the underlying lift will be used for traffic or left uncovered for a 24-hour period.

6.2.2 Aggregate Base Course (ABC) Materials

The El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (2016) references CDOT Section 703.03 for the ABC material. The ABC material should be placed in maximum 6-inch-thick lifts and compacted to a dense and unyielding condition and to at least 95% of the maximum dry density (AASHTO T180).

7 PLAN REVIEW AND CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION

7.1 Plan Review

We recommend that we be retained to review the geotechnical aspects of the plans and specifications prior to bidding the work to determine that they are in accordance with our recommendations. While this step is often skipped in design document preparation, our experience is that the review can find discrepancies or misinterpretations and correct them before bidding, thus avoiding potential change orders during construction.

7.2 Construction Monitoring

We recommend that we be retained to observe geotechnical construction activities and confirm the adequacy of our recommendations in this report. Our involvement will help with developing alternative recommendations if conditions observed during construction are different from those assumed in this report. As a minimum, our support services should include review of the Contractor's geotechnical-related submittals, observation of pavement subgrades and permanent cut slopes, and as-needed support to clarify related issues.

8 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Michael Baker International and El Paso County for the purpose of providing recommendations for the Beacon Lite Road Improvements project. This geotechnical design report should not be used without our approval if any of the following occurs:

- Assumptions stated in this report have changed.
- Project details change or new information becomes available such that our analyses and recommendations may be affected.
- A substantial period of time has passed since the date of this report.

If any of these occur, we should be retained to review the applicability of our analyses and recommendations.

Within the limitations of scope, schedule and budget, the analyses, conclusions, and recommendations presented in this report were prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional geotechnical and geological principles and practice in this area at the time this report was prepared. We make no other warranty, either express or implied.

Unanticipated soil conditions are commonly encountered and cannot be fully determined by a limited boring and testing program. Such unexpected conditions frequently require that additional expenditures be made to attain a properly constructed project. Therefore, some contingency fund is recommended to accommodate such potential extra costs.

9 REFERENCES

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), 1993, AASHTO Guide for Design of Pavement Structures: Washington, D.C., AASHTO, 2 v.
- Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT), 2019a, 2020 M-E Pavement Design Manual.
- CDOT, 2019b, Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction; available: <u>https://www.codot.gov/business/designsupport/cdot-construction-specifications/2019-construction-specifications</u>
- CDOT, 2017, CDOT Geotechnical Design Manual; available: <u>https://www.codot.gov/business/designsupport/materials-and-geotechnical/manuals/cdot-geotechnical-design-manual</u>
- El Paso County, 2016, Engineering Criteria Manual, Adopted 12/23/2004, Revised December, 13, 2016, Revision 6; available: <u>https://assets-publicworks.elpasoco.com/wpcontent/uploads/Documents/EngineeringCriteriaManual.pdf</u>
- Hart, S.S., 1974, Potentially swelling soil and rock in the front range urban corridor, Colorado: Colorado Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado, 4 sheets.
- Michael Baker International, 2021, electronic survey file named 175644_SURV_TOPO.dwg, revived August 31.
- Michael Baker International, 2020a, Beacon Lite Road Traffic Impact Study, County Line Road to Wakonda Way, Prepared for El Paso County, Colorado, dated May 22.
- Michael Baker International, 2020b, El Paso County Conceptual Plans of the Proposed Civil Engineering Design and Property Acquisition Services, Beacon Lite Road Improvements, Monument, Colorado, dated February 7.
- Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA), 1999, 29 CFR 1926, Subpart P.
- Pikes Peak Region Asphalt Paving Specifications, 2019, Version 5, dated March 20, available at: <u>https://coloradosprings.gov/sites/default/files/inline-</u> <u>images/pikes_peak_region_asphalt_paving_specs_version_5_3-20-2019.pdf</u>
- Roberge, P.R., 2012, Handbook of Corrosion Engineering, McGraw-Hill, P. 103.

- Shannon & Wilson, 2020, Geotechnical Design Report, Beacon Lite Road and County Line Road Intersection, El Paso County, Colorado: Report prepared by Shannon & Wilson, Inc., Denver, Colorado, 104129-001, for Michael Baker International, September.
- Thorson, J.P., 2003, Geologic Map of the Greenland Quadrangle, Douglas and El Paso Counties, Colorado: Colorado Geological Survey, Open-File Report OF-03-09, scale 1:24,000.
- Thorson, J.P., and Madole, R.F., 2004, Geologic Map of the Monument Quadrangle, El Paso County, Colorado: Colorado Geological Survey, Open-File Report OF02-04, scale 1:24,000.





Approximate Scale in Miles

NOTE

Map adapted from aerial imagery provided by Google Earth Pro, reproduced by permission granted by Google Earth ™ Mapping Service. Beacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado

VICINITY MAP

April 2022

104129-001

SHANNON & WILSON, INC.

FIG. 1



April 2022	
SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants	



April 2022	
SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants	



- Proposed Roadway Centerline

Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS,

Woodmoor

USDA

Approximate Scale In Feet

	Beacon Lite Road Impro El Paso County, Col	ovements lorado
2	SITE AND EXPLORAT	ION PLAN
	April 2022	104129-001
	SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants	FIG. 2 Sheet 3 of 4



Beacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado		
SITE AND EXPLORAT	ION PLAN	
April 2022	104129-001	
SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants	FIG. 2 Sheet 4 of 4	

Appendix A Subsurface Explorations

CONTENTS

A.1	Introd	uction	. A-1
A.2	Explo	rations	. A-1
	A.2.1	Soil Classification System	. A-1
	A.2.2	Standard Penetration Test (SPT)	. A-2
	A.2.3	Modified California (MC) Test and Sampling	. A-2
	A.2.4	Bulk Sampling	. A-3

Figures

Figure A-1:	Soil Classification and Log Key
Figure A-2:	Rock Classification and Log Key
Figures A-3 to A-8:	Logs of Boring SW-01 through SW-06
Figures A-9 to A-14:	Logs of Boring SW-08 through SW-13
Figures A-15 to A-23:	Logs of Boring SW-16 through SW-24

A.1 INTRODUCTION

Shannon & Wilson's field exploration program along Beacon Lite Road a was conducted on April 23 and 24, 2020, May 4 and 5, 2020, and July 16, 2020 and consisted of drilling twentyone borings designated SW-01 through SW-24. Borings SW-07, SW-14, and SW-15 were not completed due to difficult access (boring SW-07) and buried utility conflicts within Beacon Lite Road (borings SW-14 and SW-15). The methods used to conduct the field exploration program are described below.

A.2 EXPLORATIONS

The borings were coordinated (including subcontractor coordination and utility locates) and observed by Shannon & Wilson. Individual boring logs are presented in Figures A-3 through A-23. The exploration logs represent our interpretation of the contents of the field logs and select results of laboratory testing. The borings were drilled by Vine Laboratories, Inc. of Commerce City, Colorado (under subcontract to Shannon & Wilson) using a CME 55 truck mounted drill rig and a CME 750 buggy rig. The borings in the proposed roadway cuts (borings SW-01 through SW-06 and SW-24) were advanced to depths of approximately 11.5 to 45 feet. The remaining borings were advanced between 6 and 11.5 feet below the existing Beacon Lite Road grade. All borings were advanced with 4¼-inch-diameter solid-stem auger. On completion of drilling, borings SW-08 through SW-24 were backfilled with flowfill mixed on site. Borings SW-01 through SW-06 were backfilled with drill cuttings.

A.2.1 Soil Classification System

During drilling, our representative collected samples and prepared field logs of the explorations. Soil classification for this project was based on ASTM D2487, Standard Practice for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification System), and ASTM D2488, Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure). Soils were also classified using the AASHTO Soil Classification System based on AASHTO Standard M 145. The Unified Soil Classification System is summarized in Figure A-1.

The bedrock encountered in the borings was found to be very dense and hard when considered as a lithified soil material. However, when compared with other types of bedrock using the International Society for Rock Mechanics classification of rock strength, the rock resembles an extremely weak rock. Therefore, for completeness, the boring logs contain dual descriptions of the bedrock using the Unified Soil Classification System and rock classification shown in Figure A-2, where appropriate.

A.2.2 Standard Penetration Test (SPT)

Disturbed samples were obtained in the borings in general accordance with the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) (ASTM Designation: D1586). The SPT consists of driving a 2-inchoutside-diameter (O.D.), 1.375-inch-inside-diameter split-spoon sampler 18 inches. An automatic, free-falling 140-pound hammer was used to advance the split spoon sampler. Based on 2019 testing^{(1), (2)} of Vine Laboratories drill rigs, the reported energy transfer ratio for the automatic hammer was 97% for the CME-750X buggy mounted drill rig and 83% for the CME-55 truck mounted drill rig. During sampling, the Shannon & Wilson field representative recorded the number of blows for each 6-inch increment of penetration and summed the blow counts for the last two 6-inch increments. This sum is recorded as the penetration resistance number, or N-value. If high penetration resistance prevented driving the total length of the sampler, the Shannon & Wilson field representative recorded the partial penetration depth and blow count. The N-values provide a means for evaluating the relative density or compactness of cohesionless (granular) soils and consistency or stiffness of cohesive (fine-grained) soils (see Figure A-1). The raw N-values are shown on the individual boring logs. Representative portions of the split-spoon sample obtained in conjunction with the SPT were placed in a screw-top plastic jar and transported to our laboratory.

A.2.3 Modified California (MC) Test and Sampling

Samples were also obtained using a Modified California (MC) barrel sampler. The MC test procedure is similar to the SPT, except the sample barrel is larger (2½-inch O.D.) and lined with 2-inch-diameter brass tubing. The MC sampler is only driven 12 inches. During sampling, the Shannon & Wilson field representative recorded the number of blows for each 6-inch increment of penetration. As a result of the larger diameter, the MC sampler yields slightly higher raw blow count numbers when compared to SPT N-values for similar soils. Because the difference in blow counts does not significantly impact our evaluation, we used the field MC blow counts over the 12-inch increment to define the relative density and consistency/stiffness of the subsurface materials following SPT terminology. Representative

¹ 2019, GRL Engineers, Inc., Energy Measurements for Dynamic Penetrometers, Rigs(s): CME 750X
 Serial Number 304668, Geoprobe 6620DT serial Number 10046, CME 55 Serial Number 332955, and
 CME 55 Serial Number 404685, Standard Penetration Tests (SPT), Commerce City, Colorado,
 prepared for Vine Laboratories, Inc.; GRL Jon No. 192027-1, dated August 14, 2019.
 ² 2019, GRL Engineers, Inc., Energy Measurements for Dynamic Penetrometers, Rigs(s): CME 55
 Serial Number 348175, Standard Penetration Tests (SPT), Commerce City, Colorado, prepared for
 Vine Laboratories, Inc.; GRL Job No. 192027-1-1, dated July 15, 2019.

samples were sealed in the brass liner tubes with plastic caps and transported to our laboratory for further testing.

A.2.4 Bulk Sampling

A bulk soil sample was obtained by collecting the drill cuttings from select borings. Approximately 20 pounds of cuttings were placed in a sealed plastic bag. The cuttings were further subsampled and placed into a screw top plastic jar in order to better preserve natural field moisture conditions. The samples were transported to our laboratory for further analysis and testing.

Shannon & Wilson, Inc. (S&W), uses a soil identification system modified from the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Elements of the USCS and other definitions are provided on this and the following pages. Soil descriptions are based on visual-manual procedures (ASTM D2488) and laboratory testing procedures (ASTM D2487), if performed.

S&W INORGANIC SOIL CONSTITUENT DEFINITIONS

CONSTITUENT ²	FINE-GRAINED SOILS (50% or more fines) ¹	COARSE-GRAINED SOILS (less than 50% fines) ¹
Major	Silt, Lean Clay, Elastic Silt, or Fat Clay ³	Sand or Gravel ^₄
Modifying (Secondary) Precedes major constituent	30% or more coarse-grained: Sandy or Gravelly ⁴	More than 12% fine-grained: Silty or Clayey ³
Minor Follows major constituent	15% to 30% coarse-grained: <i>with Sand</i> or <i>with Gravel</i> ⁴	5% to 12% fine-grained: <i>with Silt</i> or <i>with Clay</i> ³
	30% or more total coarse-grained and lesser coarse- grained constituent is 15% or more: with Sand or	15% or more of a second coarse- grained constituent: <i>with Sand</i> or <i>with Gravel</i> ⁵
¹ All percentages ar	with Gravel ⁵	imen passing a 3-inch sieve

²The order of terms is: Modifying Major with Minor.

Determined based on behavior.

⁴Determined based on which constituent comprises a larger percentage. ⁵Whichever is the lesser constituent.

MOISTURE CONTENT TERMS

Dry	Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch
Moist	Damp but no visible water

Wet Visible free water, from below water table

STANDARD PENETRATION TEST (SPT) **SPECIFICATIONS**

Hammer:	140 pounds with a 30-inch free fall. Rope on 6- to 10-inch-diam. cathead 2-1/4 rope turns, > 100 rpm
	NOTE: If automatic hammers are used, blow counts shown on boring logs should be adjusted to account for efficiency of hammer.
Sampler:	10 to 30 inches long Shoe I.D. = 1.375 inches Barrel I.D. = 1.5 inches Barrel O.D. = 2 inches
N-Value:	Sum blow counts for second and third 6-inch increments. Refusal: 50 blows for 6 inches or less; 10 blows for 0 inches.
NOTE: Pen bori hav effic	etration resistances (N-values) shown on ng logs are as recorded in the field and e not been corrected for hammer siency, overburden, or other factors.

FARTICLE SIZE DEFINITIONS		
DESCRIPTION	SIEVE NUMBER AND/OR APPROXIMATE SIZE	
FINES	< #200 (0.075 mm = 0.003 in.)	
SAND Fine Medium Coarse	#200 to #40 (0.075 to 0.4 mm; 0.003 to 0.02 in.) #40 to #10 (0.4 to 2 mm; 0.02 to 0.08 in.) #10 to #4 (2 to 4.75 mm; 0.08 to 0.187 in.)	
GRAVEL Fine Coarse	#4 to 3/4 in. (4.75 to 19 mm; 0.187 to 0.75 in.) 3/4 to 3 in. (19 to 76 mm)	
COBBLES	3 to 12 in. (76 to 305 mm)	
BOULDERS	> 12 in. (305 mm)	

RELATIVE DENSITY / CONSISTENCY

COHESION	LESS SOILS	COHES	SIVE SOILS
N, SPT, <u>BLOWS/FT.</u>	RELATIVE <u>DENSITY</u>	N, SPT, <u>BLOWS/FT.</u>	RELATIVE CONSISTENCY
< 4	Very loose	< 2	Very soft
4 - 10	Loose	2 - 4	Soft
10 - 30	Medium dense	4 - 8	Medium stiff
30 - 50	Dense	8 - 15	Stiff
> 50	Very dense	15 - 30	Very stiff
		> 30	Hard

WELL AND BACKFILL SYMBOLS

Bentonite Cement Grout	Var + Var	Surface Cement Seal
Bentonite Grout		Asphalt or Cap
Bentonite Chips		Slough
Silica Sand		Inclinometer or Non-perforated Casing
Perforated or Screened Casing		Vibrating Wire Piezometer

PERCENTAGES TERMS 1, 2

Trace	< 5%
Few	5 to 10%
Little	15 to 25%
Some	30 to 45%
Mostly	50 to 100%

¹Gravel, sand, and fines estimated by mass. Other constituents, such as organics, cobbles, and boulders, estimated by volume.

²Reprinted, with permission, from ASTM D2488 - 09a Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure), copyright ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428. A copy of the complete standard may be obtained from ASTM International, www.astm.org.

> **Beacon Lite Road Improvements** El Paso County, Colorado

SOIL CLASSIFICATION AND LOG KEY

April 2022

104129-001

SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants

FIG. A-1

Sheet 1 of 3

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (USCS) (Modified From USACE Tech Memo 3-357, ASTM D2487, and ASTM D2488)					
	MAJOR DIVISIONS	6	GROUP/	GRAPHIC IBOL	TYPICAL IDENTIFICATIONS
	Gravels (more than 50%	Gravel (less than 5% fines)	GW		Well-Graded Gravel; Well-Graded Gravel with Sand
			GP		Poorly Graded Gravel; Poorly Graded Gravel with Sand
	of coarse fraction retained on No. 4 sieve)	Silty or Clayey	GM		Silty Gravel; Silty Gravel with Sand
COARSE- GRAINED SOILS		(more than 12% fines)	GC		Clayey Gravel; Clayey Gravel with Sand
(more than 50% retained on No. 200 sieve)		Sand (less than 5% fines)	sw		Well-Graded Sand; Well-Graded Sand with Gravel
	Sands (50% or more of coarse fraction passes the No. 4 sieve)		SP		Poorly Graded Sand; Poorly Graded Sand with Gravel
		Silty or Clayey Sand (more than 12% fines)	SM		Silty Sand; Silty Sand with Gravel
			SC		Clayey Sand; Clayey Sand with Gravel
	Silts and Clays (liquid limit less than 50)	Inorganic	ML		Silt; Silt with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Silt
			CL		Lean Clay; Lean Clay with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Lean Clay
FINE-GRAINED SOILS (50% or more passes the No. 200 sieve)		Organic	OL		Organic Silt or Clay; Organic Silt or Clay with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Organic Silt or Clay
	Silts and Clays (liquid limit 50 or more)	Inorganic	МН		Elastic Silt; Elastic Silt with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Elastic Silt
			СН		Fat Clay; Fat Clay with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Fat Clay
		Organic	он		Organic Silt or Clay; Organic Silt or Clay with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Organic Silt or Clay
HIGHLY- ORGANIC SOILS	Primarily organic matter, dark in color, and organic odor		PT		Peat or other highly organic soils (see ASTM D4427)

NOTE: No. 4 size = 4.75 mm = 0.187 in.; No. 200 size = 0.075 mm = 0.003 in.

<u>NOTES</u>

- 1. Dual symbols (symbols separated by a hyphen, i.e., SP-SM, Sand with Silt) are used for soils with between 5% and 12% fines or when the liquid limit and plasticity index values plot in the CL-ML area of the plasticity chart. Graphics shown on the logs for these soil types are a combination of the two graphic symbols (e.g., SP and SM).
- 2. Borderline symbols (symbols separated by a slash, i.e., CL/ML, Lean Clay to Silt; SP-SM/SM, Sand with Silt to Silty Sand) indicate that the soil properties are close to the defining boundary between two groups.

Beacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado

SOIL CLASSIFICATION AND LOG KEY

April 2022

SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants 104129-001 FIG. A-1

Sheet 2 of 3

2013_BORING_CLASS2_104129-001 BEACON LITE RD IMPROVEMENTS.GPJ_SWNEW.GDT 8/26/21

Poorlv Gra	ded Narrow range of grain sizes preser	nt
, oru	or, within the range of grain sizes	
	present, one or more sizes are missing (Gap Graded) Meets crite	eria
	in ASTM D2487, if tested.	5110
Well-Gra	ded Full range and even distribution of grain sizes present. Meets criteria	in
	ASTM D2487, if tested.	
	CEMENTATION TERMS ¹	
Weak	Crumbles or breaks with handling or slight finger pressure	
Moderate	Crumbles or breaks with considerable	e
Strong	Will not crumble or break with finger pressure	
	PLASTICITY ²	
	APPI	ROX.
	PLASI	
DESCRIPTION	VISUAL-MANUAL CRITERIA RAM	NGE
Nonplastic	A 1/8-in. thread cannot be rolled <	4
Low	A thread can barely be rolled and 4 to	0 10
	a lump cannot be formed when	
Medium	A thread is easy to roll and not 10 to	o 20
	much time is required to reach the	
	rerolled after reaching the plastic	
	limit. A lump crumbles when drier	
High	than the plastic limit.	20
r ngri	and kneading to reach the plastic	
	limit. A thread can be rerolled	
	plastic limit. A lump can be	
	formed without crumbling when	
	ADDITIONAL TERMS	
Mottled	Irregular patches of different colors.	
Bioturbated	Soil disturbance or mixing by plants or animals.	Inte
Diamict	Nonsorted sediment; sand and gravel in silt and/or clay matrix.	La
Cuttings	Material brought to surface by drilling.	
Slough	Material that caved from sides of	
Slough	borehole.	Slick
Sheared	Disturbed texture, mix of strengths.	
PARTICLE	ANGULARITY AND SHAPE TERMS ¹	
Angular	Sharp edges and unpolished planar surfaces.	
Subangular	Similar to angular, but with rounded edges.	Homo
Subrounded	Nearly planar sides with well-rounded edges.	
Rounded	Smoothly curved sides with no edges.	
Flat	Width/thickness ratio > 3.	
Elongated	Length/width ratio > 3.	
eprinted, with per escription and Ide ternational, 100 E e complete stand dapted, with perm	mission, from ASTM D2488 - 09a Standard Pr ntification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure), arr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19- ard may be obtained from ASTM International, nission, from ASTM D2488 - 09a Standard Pra	actice for copyright AS 428. A copy www.astm.o ctice for

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ATD	At Time of Drilling
Diam.	Diameter
Elev.	Elevation
ft.	Feet
FeO	Iron Oxide
gal.	Gallons
Horiz.	Horizontal
HSA	Hollow Stem Auger
I.D.	Inside Diameter
in.	Inches
lbs.	Pounds
MgO	Magnesium Oxide
mm	Millimeter
MnO	Manganese Oxide
NA	Not Applicable or Not Available
NP	Nonplastic
O.D.	Outside Diameter
OW	Observation Well
pcf	Pounds per Cubic Foot
PID	Photo-Ionization Detector
PMT	Pressuremeter Test
ppm	Parts per Million
psi	Pounds per Square Inch
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
rpm	Rotations per Minute
SPT	Standard Penetration Test
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
\mathbf{q}_{u}	Unconfined Compressive Strength
VWP	Vibrating Wire Piezometer
Vert.	Vertical
WOH	Weight of Hammer
WOR	Weight of Rods
Wt.	Weight
ST	
	mating lavara of your ing matarial as calar

		STRUCTURE TERMS ¹
Interbec	ded	Alternating layers of varying material or color with layers at least 1/4-inch thick: singular; bed.
Lamin	ated	Alternating layers of varying material or color with layers less than 1/4-inch thick; singular: lamination.
Fiss	ured	Breaks along definite planes or fractures with little resistance.
Slickens	ided	Fracture planes appear polished or glossy; sometimes striated.
Blo	ocky	Cohesive soil that can be broken down into small angular lumps that resist further

	small angular lumps that resist further
	breakdown.
Lensed	Inclusion of small pockets of different soils,
	such as small lenses of sand scattered through
	a mass of clay.
nogeneous	Same color and appearance throughout.

Beacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado

SOIL CLASSIFICATION AND LOG KEY

April 2022 SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants

International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428. A copy of the complete standard may be obtained from ASTM International, www.astm.org.

2013_BORING_CLASS3_104129-001 BEACON LITE RD IMPROVEMENTS.GPJ_SWNEW.GDT 8/26/21

FIG. A-1 Sheet 3 of 3

WEATHERING

TERM	DESCRIPTION
Fresh	No visible sign of rock material weathering
Slightly Weathered	Slight discoloration on surface
Moderately Weathered	Discoloring evident; Less than half of the rock material is decomposed
Highly Weathered	Entire rock mass discolored; More than half of the rock material is decomposed
Completely Weathered	Rock reduced to a soil with relict rock texture
Residual Soil	All rock material is converted to soil

JOINT ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT (JRC)

COEFFICIENT	DESCRIPTION
14 to 20	VERY ROUGH: Near vertical edges evident
10 to 14	ROUGH: Smooth ridges, surface abrasion
6 to 10	SLIGHTLY ROUGH: Asperities on surface can be felt
2 to 6	SMOOTH: Appears and feels smooth
0 to 2	SLICKENSIDED: Visible polishing, striated surface

DISCONTINUITY TERMS

FRACTURE - Collective term for any natural break excluding shears, shear zones, and faults

JOINT (JT) - Planar break with little or no displacement

FOLIATION JOINT (FJ) or BEDDING JOINT (BJ) - Joint along foliation or bedding

INCIPIENT JOINT (IJ) or INCIPIENT FRACTURE (IF) -Joint or fracture not evident until wetted and dried; breaks along existing surface

RANDOM FRACTURE (RF) - Natural, very irregular fracture that does not belong to a set

BEDDING PLANE SEPARATION or PARTING - A separation along bedding after extraction from stress relief or slaking

FRACTURE ZONE (FZ) - Planar zone of broken rock without gouge

MECHANICAL BREAK (MB) - Breaks due to drilling or handling; drilling break (DB), hammer break (HB)

SHEAR (SH) - Surface of differential movement evident by presence of slickensides, striations, or polishing

SHEAR ZONE (SZ) - Zone of gouge and rock fragments bounded by planar shear surfaces

FAULT (FT) - Shear zone of significant extent; differentiation from shear zone may be site-specific

STRENGTH

GRADE DESCRIPTION		APPROX. UCS (psi)
R0	Extremely Weak Rock	36 to 145
R1	Very Weak Rock	145 to 700
R2	Weak Rock	700 to 3,600
R3	Medium Strong Rock	3,600 to 7,200
R4	Strong Rock	7,200 to 14,500
R5	Very Strong Rock	14,500 to 36,250
R6	Extremely Strong Rock	>36,250

DISCONTINUITY DATA

SPACIN	G
DESCRIPTION	SPACING
Extremely Close	< 1 in
Very Close	1 to 2.5 in
Close	2.5 to 8 in
Moderate	8 to 24 in
Wide	24 in to 6 ft
Very Wide	6 to 20 ft
Extremely Wide	> 20 ft

APERTURE WIDTH										
TERM	SPACING									
Very Tight	<0.1mm									
Tight	0.1 to 0.25mm									
Partly Open	0.25 to 0.5mm									
Open	0.5 to 2.5mm									
Moderately Wide	2.5 to 10mm									
Wide	10mm to 1cm									
Very Wide	1 to 10cm									
Extremely Wide	10 to 100cm									
Cavernous	>1m									

Beacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado

ROCK CLASSIFICATION AND LOG KEY

April 2022

104129-001

SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants

FIG. A-2 Sheet 1 of 2

ROCK CLASSIFICATION SYMBOLS									
BEDROCK TYPE	GRAPHIC SYMBOL	ROCK NAME							
		Breccia							
	0000000	Conglomerate							
Clastic		Sandstone							
Rocks		Siltstone							
		Claystone							
		Shale							
		Coal							
Carbonate		Limestone							
Sedimentary Rocks		Dolomite							
		Coral							
	$\begin{array}{c} \diamond \diamond \diamond \diamond \diamond \\ \diamond \diamond \diamond \diamond \diamond \\ \end{array}$	Gypsum							
Evaporite Rocks		Halite							
		Calcite							
		Tuff							
Extrusive	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	Rhyolite							
Igneous Rocks		Dacite							
	^ × ^ × ^ × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	Andesite							
		Basalt							
		Granite							
Intrusive Igneous		Grano-diorite							
Rocks	- + + + - + + +	Diorite							
		Gabbro							
		Marble							
	مر . مر . مر . مر . مر . مر . مر . مر . مر . مر .	Quartzite							
Metamorphic		Slate							
Rocks		Phyllite							
		Schist							
	277	Gneiss							

ROCK CLASSIFICATION AND LOG KEY

April 2022 1
SHANNON & WILSON, INC.
Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants

104129-001

FIG. A-2 Sheet 2 of 2

Total Depth: 11.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.11631° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ -104.86794° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ing N ing C Rig I er Co	lethod: compar Equipn ommen	: <u>S</u> ny: <u>V</u> nent: <u>C</u> ts:	olid-Ster (ine Labo :ME 750	m Auger pratories Buggy	Hole Diam.: Rod Type.: Hammer Typ	4 in. AWJ e: Automatic	
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRAT ▲ Hammer	TION RESIST. Wt. & Drop: <u>1</u> 20	ANCE (blows/foot) 40 lbs / 30 inches 40 60	
Topsoil. Loose, brown, <i>Silty, Clayey Sand (SC-SM);</i> moist; scattered organics. [A-2-4]	0.5		<u>7</u>	l During Drilling.					
Loose to medium dense, brown to light brown, <i>Silty, Clayey Sand (SC-SM);</i> moist; trace gravel, scattered roots. Residuum [A-2-4] [SANDSTONE: extremely weak; completely weathered (Dawson Formation).]	0.0		s	ater Not Encountered	5				
SANDSTONE: extremely weak, white to red-brown; completely to highly weathered; weakly cemented (Dawson Formation). [Very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist; trace	11.5		S-4 S-4 S-3	Groundw	10	•			
BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 04/24/2020					15				
					20				
					25				
					30				
LEGEND						0	20	40 60	
★ Sample Not Recovered ☐ Standard Penetration Test						Plastic L	◇ % Fines (< ● % Water (imit ┣━━━ Jatural Water (:0.075mm) Content ┨ Liquid Limit Content	
<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes.	abbrevia	ations	and		Be	eacon Lite El Paso (Road Impro County, Colc	vements orado	
 A register of rights A range A ra						.OG OF E	BORING	SW-01	
 USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s The boring location was determined using a mapping grade GPS elevation was determined from an electronic topographic file of t 	selected S unit. Tl he Proie	lab te ne bor ct site	sting. ing		April 20 SHANN	022	SON, INC.	104129-001	
					Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants FIG. A-3				

Total Depth:45.3 ft.Latitude:~ 39.1169°Top Elevation:~Longitude:~ -104.86833°Vert. Datum:Station:~Horiz. Datum:Offset:~	Drill Drill Drill Oth	ling N ling C I Rig I ler Co	lethod: ompan Equipm mment	 y: <u></u> ent: s:	<i>lid-Ster</i> ne Labo 1E 750	<u>m Auger</u> Hole Diam.: <u>4 in.</u> <u>pratories</u> Rod Type.: <u>AWJ</u> <u>Buggy</u> Hammer Type: <u>Automatic</u>		
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (blows/foot) ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop: <u>140 lbs / 30 inches</u> 0 20 40 60		
Topsoil. Loose, brown, <i>Silty, Clayey Sand (SC-SM);</i> moist; scattered organics.	0.5 2.0		S-1	id During Drilling.				
(SC-SM); moist; trace gravel. Residuum [SANDSTONE: extremely weak; completely weathered (Dawson Formation).]	4.0		s-2	r Not Encountere	5	• • • 1		
SANDSTONE: extremely weak, light brown; completely to highly weathered (Dawson Formation). [Very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.]	8.3		°5-3	Groundwate	10	• 50/5°2		
SANDSTONE: extremely weak to very weak, light gray to white; highly to moderately weathered; weakly cemented (Dawson Formation).								
[Very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.]			⁸⁻⁴		15	• 50/5*z		
- Red-brown to red-yellow; fissile, laminations approximately 1/2-inch thick below 20 feet.			s-5 Н		20	• 50/4*2		
			s-6 H		25	• 50/4*z		
- Light gray to yellow-brown below 30 feet.			s-7		30	• 50/3*2		
CONTINUED NEXT SHEET								
LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered T Standard Penetration Test						0 20 40 60 ♦ % Fines (<0.075mm) ● % Water Content Plastic Limit H I Liquid Limit Natural Water Content		
NOTES 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, a	abbrevi	ations	and		Be	eacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado		
definitions.2. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper understanding of the nature of the subsurface materials.					LOG OF BORING SW-02			
 Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified ar USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s 	nd may elected	vary. I lab te:	sting.	A	pril 20	022 104129-001		
 I ne boring location was determined using a mapping grade GPS elevation was determined from an electronic topographic file of the 	a unit. T ne Proje	ne bor ect site	ing	S		NON & WILSON, INC. FIG. A-4 al and Environmental Consultants Sheet 1 of 2		

Total Depth: 45.3 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.1169° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ -104.86833° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	Drill Drill Drill Othe	ing N ing C Rig I er Co	lethod: ompany Equipme mments	<u>So</u> ent: <u></u> ::	<i>lid-Ster</i> ne Labo //E 750	m Auger Hole Diam.: 4 in. pratories Rod Type.: AWJ Buggy Hammer Type: Automatic
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (blows/foot) ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop: <u>140 lbs / 30 inches</u> 0 0 20 40 60
SANDSTONE: extremely weak to very weak (continued).			ю́			•
- White below 40 feet.			°5°⊤		40	• 50/5*/
BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 04/23/2020	45.3		S-10 ⊢		45	• 50/3* <i>1</i>
					50	
					55	
					60	
					65	
					00	
LEGEND ★ Sample Not Recovered ☐ Standard Penetration Test						0 20 40 60 ♦ % Fines (<0.075mm) ♥ % Water Content Plastic Limit H I Liquid Limit Natural Water Content
<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviations and				Be	eacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado	
 cerinitions. 2. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. 3. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified an 	undersi d may v	tandin vary.	g of the		L	OG OF BORING SW-02
 USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s The boring location was determined using a mapping grade GPS elevation was determined from an electronic topographic file of the 	elected unit. The Proje	lab te: ne bor ct site	sting. ing	A S	pril 20	022 104129-001 NON & WILSON, INC. FIG. A-4 and Environmental Consultants Characteria

Total Depth: 35.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.11728° Top Elevation: Longitude: ~ -104.8682° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ling M ling C I Rig I er Cc	lethod: compan Equipm omment	iy: ient: ts:	Solid Vine CME	- <u>Ster</u> Labo 750	<u>m Auger</u> Hole D <u>pratories</u> Rod Ty <u>Buggy</u> Hamm	iam.: /pe.: er Typ	e: <u>A</u>	4 in. AWJ utomatic
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RE ▲ Hammer Wt. & D 0 20	ESIST . rop: <u>1</u>	ANCE <u>40 lbs /</u> 40	(blows/foot) <u>30 inches</u> 60
Topsoil. Medium dense, light brown, <i>Sllty, Clayey Sand</i> <i>(SC-SM);</i> dry to moist; trace to few gravel. Residuum [SANDSTONE: extremely weak; completely weathered (Dawson Formation).] SANDSTONE: extremely weak, light brown to yellow-brown with light red grains; completely to highly weathered; calcareous (Dawson Formation)	4.0		\$-2 \$-1 \$-1 \$-1	undwater Not Encountered During Drilling.		5	•			
[Dense to very dense, <i>Clayey Sand</i> (<i>SC</i>); moist; trace gravel.] SANDSTONE: extremely weak to very weak, light brown to yellow-brown; highly to	13.3		84	Gro		10				50/3"
moderately weathered; calcareous; weakly cemented (Dawson Formation). [Very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.]			с. 25 С. П. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С.			20	•	<u></u>		50/6
CLAYSTONE: extremely weak, red-brown to brown; moderately weathered (Dawson	25.4		9 			25	•			78/11
Formation). [Hard, <i>Fat Clay with Sand (CH);</i> moist.] SANDSTONE: extremely weak, light brown to light red and yellow-brown; moderately weathered (Dawson Formation).	28.0		S-7			30	•			50/4*2
[Very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.] BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 04/23/2020	35.5		。 8 一			35	•		40	50/6"
LEGEND Sample Not Recovered Standard Penetration Test Modified California Sampler							0 20	ines (< /ater (• Vater (40 0.075mr Conter Liqu Content	ou n) it id Limit
<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviations and						B	eacon Lite Road I El Paso County	mpro Colc	veme orado	nts
 The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper understanding of the nature of the subsurface materials. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified and may vary. 						SW-()3			
 Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified and may vary. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and selected lab testing. The boring location was determined using a mapping grade GPS unit. The boring elevation was determined from an electronic topographic file of the Project site. 					April 2022 104129-0				29-001	
					SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants				9. A-5	

MASTER_LOG_E_POCKETPEN_LAT&LONG_104129-001 BEACON LITE RD IMPROVEMENTS.GPJ_4/13/22
Total Depth: 39.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.11707° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ 104.86838° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Drill	ling N ling C I Rig I er Co	lethod: compan Equipm mment	<u></u> iy: <u></u> ient: <u></u> is:	lid-Ster ne Labo 1E 750	<u>n Auger</u> Hole Diam.: <u>pratories</u> Rod Type.: <u>Buggy</u> Hammer Ty	<u>4 in.</u> <u>AWJ</u> pe: <u>Automatic</u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RESIST ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop: 0 20	FANCE (blows/foot) 140 lbs / 30 inches 40 60
Topsoil. Medium dense, light brown with light red grains, <i>Silty, Clayey Sand (SC-SM);</i> dry to moist; fine sand. Residuum [SANDSTONE: extremely weak; completely weathered (Dawson Formation).] SANDSTONE: extremely weak, light brown;	6.5		\$-2 \$-1	r Not Encountered During Drilling.	5	•	
highly weathered (Dawson Formation). [Medium dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.]	12.3		e e S	Groundwate	10	•	
SANDS FONE: extremely weak to very weak, light brown to light gray and yellow-brown; highly to moderately weathered (Dawson Formation). [Very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.]			\$T		15	•	50/6
- Fissile laminations approximately 1/2-inch thick from 19.2 feet to 19.4 feet.			с С		20	•	<: 50/5°
			s-7		25	•	50/4 - 50/2 -
- Fissile laminations approximately 1/2-inch thick from 34.0 feet to 34.5 feet. CONTINUED NEXT SHEET			°5т		30	•	50/6
LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered ⊥ Standard Penetration Test						0 20	40 60 (<0.075mm) Content
<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, definitions.	abbrevi	ations	and		Be	eacon Lite Road Impro El Paso County, Col	ovements orado
 The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified ar 	son in the text of this report is necessary for a proper understanding of the e subsurface materials. er level, if indicated above, is for the date specified and may vary.						SW-04
 USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s The boring location was determined using a mapping grade GPS elevation was determined from an electronic topographic file of the 	elected 3 unit. T ne Proje	lab te he bor ect site	sting. ing	A S Ge	pril 2(HANN eotechnica	U22 NON & WILSON, INC. al and Environmental Consultants	104129-001 FIG. A-6 Sheet 1 of 2

Total Depth: 39.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.11707° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ -104.86838° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	Drilli Drilli Drill Orill Oth	ing M ing C Rig í er Cc	lethod: compan Equipm comment	<u>Soli</u> y: <u>Vine</u> ent: <u>CM</u> s:	<u>d-Ster</u> <u>e Labc</u> I <u>E 750</u>	<u>m Auger</u> Hole Diam.: <u>4 in.</u> <u>oratories</u> Rod Type.: <u>AWJ</u> <u>2 Buggy</u> Hammer Type: <u>Automatic</u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (blows/foot) ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop: <u>140 lbs / 30 inches</u> 0 20 40 60
- SANDSTONE: extremely weak to very weak (Continued).						
BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 04/23/2020	39.5		°,⊤		40	
					45	
					50)
					55	
					60)
					65	
						0 20 40 60
★ Sample Not Recovered Standard Penetration Test						 ◇ % Fines (<0.075mm) ● % Water Content
NOTES					B	Beacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado
 Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, a definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper pattern of the subsurface materials. 	abbrevia r unders'	itions : tandin	and		L	LOG OF BORING SW-04
 Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified ar USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s 	าd may v selected	/ary. lab te	∍sting.	A I	pril 2 [,]	2022 104129-001
The boring location was determined using a mapping grade GPS elevation was determined from an electronic topographic file of the second second secon	location was determined using a mapping grade GPS unit. The boring vas determined from an electronic topographic file of the Project site.					NON & WILSON, INC. Ical and Environmental Consultants FIG. A-6 Sheet 2 of 2

Total Depth: 40.9 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.1167° Top Elevation: Longitude: ~ 104.86828° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Othe	ing M ing C Rig I er Co	lethod: compan Equipm omment		Solid-Ster Vine Labo CME 750	<u>n Auger</u> Hole Diam.: <u>pratories</u> Rod Type.: <u>Buggy</u> Hammer Typ	<u>4 in.</u> <u>AWJ</u> e: <u>Automatic</u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	Water Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RESIST ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop: 020	ANCE (blows/foot) 140 lbs / 30 inches 40 60
Topsoil. Loose, brown, <i>Silty, Clayey Sand (SC-SM);</i> moist; scattered organics. SANDSTONE/CLAYSTONE: extremely weak; light brown to light gray and light red; completely to highly weathered; weakly	0.5		8-2 8-1	incountered During Drilling.	5	•	▲ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ <p< td=""></p<>
cemented (Dawson Formation). [Dense to very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC)</i> to hard, <i>Sandy Lean Clay (CL); moist;</i> trace gravel.] SANDSTONE: extremely weak; light gray to yellow-brown and brown; fissile with 1/2-inch	8.2		s. T	Groundwater Not E	10	•	50/5"
thick beds; highly weathered (Dawson Formation). [Very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.] CLAYSTONE: extremely weak, light gray to white; fissile with 1/4-inch thick beds; highly	15.8		₽°2 4-2		15	•	:50/5*
weathered (Dawson Formation). [Hard, Sandy Fat Clay (CH); moist.] SANDSTONE: extremely weak to very weak, white; highly weathered (Dawson Formation). Nerv dense, Clavey Sand (SC): moist.]	20.4		± S S		20		50/3*
CLAYSTONE: extremely weak, light gray to yellow-brown; fissile with 1/4-inch thick beds; highly weathered; calcareous nodules (Dawson Formation). [Hard, <i>Fat Clay (CH);</i> moist; few sand.]	25.8		<u>ه</u>		25	•	9 ⁹ :
SANDSTONE: extremely weak, light gray to yellow-brown and light brown; fissile with 1/4-inch thick beds, interbedded with 1/4-inch thick claystone seams; highly weathered (Dawson Formation). [Very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.]			r\$₁		30	•	50/6"
CONTINUED NEXT SHEET <u>LEGEND</u> * Sample Not Recovered T Standard Penetration Test						0 20 ♦ % Fines (● % Water Plastic Limit → Natural Water	40 60 <0.075mm) Content I Liquid Limit Content
<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, definitions.	abbrevia	ations	and		B	eacon Lite Road Impro El Paso County, Col	ovements orado
 The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified at 	underst	tandin varv	g of the		L	OG OF BORING	SW-05
 Croundwater rever, in indicated above, is for the date specified and USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s The boring location was determined using a manufacture and a second second		lab te	sting.		April 20	022	104129-001
 The boring location was determined using a mapping grade GPS elevation was determined from an electronic topographic file of the 	he Proje	ne bor ct site	ing		SHANN Geotechnic	NON & WILSON, INC. al and Environmental Consultants	FIG. A-7 Sheet 1 of 2



Total Depth:40.9 ft.Latitude:~ 39.1167°Top Elevation:~Longitude:~ 104.86828°Vert. Datum:Station:~Horiz. Datum:Offset:~	Drill Drill Drill Othe	ing M ing C Rig I er Co	lethod: compan Equipm omment	<u>Sc</u> y: <u>Vii</u> ent: <u>Cl</u> s:	olid-Ster ne Labo ME 750	<u>m Auger</u> Hole Diam.: <u>4 in.</u> <u>bratories</u> Rod Type.: <u>AWJ</u> <u>Buggy</u> Hammer Type: <u>Automatic</u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (blows/foot ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop: <u>140 lbs / 30 inches</u> 0 20 40 6i
SANDSTONE: extremely weak (Continued). SANDSTONE: extremely weak, red-brown to	37.7		s S			50/5
light gray and yellow-brown; highly to moderately weathered (Dawson Formation). <u>[Very dense</u> , <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.] BOTTOM OF BORING	40.9		6-5 		40	• 50/5
COMPLETED ON 04/24/2020					45	
					50	
					55	
					60	
					65	
LEGEND ★ Sample Not Recovered ☐ Standard Penetration Test						 ♦ % Fines (<0.075mm) ♥ % Water Content Plastic Limit Plastic Limit Matural Water Content
NOTES	abbravia	tions	and		Be	eacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado
definitions.2. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper understanding of the nature of the subsurface materials.					L	OG OF BORING SW-05
 Groundwater level, it indicated above, is for the date specified and may vary. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and selected lab testing. The basing leastion was determined using a manufacture of 200 unit. The holds. 					April 20	022 104129-001
The boring location was determined using a mapping grade GPS unit. The boring elevation was determined from an electronic topographic file of the Project site.					SHANN ieotechnica	NON & WILSON, INC. al and Environmental Consultants FIG. A-7 Sheet 2 of 2





4/13/22

Total Depth: 6.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.1153° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ 104.86786° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ing M ing C Rig I er Cc	1ethod: compan Equipm ommeni	 ny: nent: ts:	Solid-Ster /ine Labo CME 55 1	6 in. AWJ e: Automatic		
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRA [™] ▲ Hammer	FION RESIST Wt. & Drop: <u>1</u> 20	ANCE (blows/foot) 40 lbs / 30 inches 40 60
Aggregate Surfacing Medium dense, brown to light brown, <i>Silty,</i> <i>Clayey Sand (SC-SM);</i> moist; trace gravel. [A-1-b] Medium dense, brown to dark brown, <i>Poorly</i> <i>Graded Sand with Clay (SP-SC)</i> to <i>Clayey</i>	0.2 4.5		S-3 S-2 S-1B-1	Encountered During Drilling.	5		-1 \$	
Sand (SC); moist; trace to few gravel. [A-1-b] BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/05/2020	6.5	···· • • ⁄ ·		Groundwater Not	10			
					15			
					20			
					25			
					30			
LEGEND ★ Sample Not Recovered ☐ Standard Penetration Test ☐ Grab Sample						0 Plastic L	20 ♦ % Fines (- ● % Water (- imit ● Natural Water (-	40 60 «0.075mm) Content Liquid Limit Content
<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes	abbrevi;	ations	and		B	eacon Lite El Paso (Road Impro County, Colo	vements orado
 definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. Groundwater level if indicated above is for the date specified at 	underst	tanding	g of the		L	.OG OF I	BORING	SW-08
 Glouidwater level, in indicated above, is for the date specified and USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s The boring location was determined using a recreational grade G 	elected PS unit	lab tes	sting.		April 20 SHANI Geotechnic	022 NON & WIL al and Environmen	SON, INC.	104129-001 FIG. A-9

Total Depth: 11.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.11587° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ -104.86788° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	Drilli Drilli Drill Oth	ing M ing C Rig I er Cc	lethod: compar Equipn ommen	<u></u> ıy: <u>\</u> ∩ent:(ıts:	Solid-Ster /ine Labo CME 55 1	m Auger pratories Truck	Hol Roo Ha	le Diam.: d Type.: mmer Type	4 in. AWJ e: Automatic
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	Depth, ft.	PENETR ▲ Hamm	er Wt. a	I RESIST/ & Drop: <u>1</u>	ANCE (blows/foot) 40 lbs / 30 inches 40 60
Aggregate Surfacing Medium dense, brown to light brown, <i>Poorly</i> <i>Graded Sand (SP);</i> moist trace silt; trace gravel. [A-1-b] Loose to medium dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist; trace gravel. [A-2-6]	0.3		S-3 S-2 S-1	Not Encountered During Drilling.	5	•	▲ 	▲ → 1	
Medium dense, dark brown, <i>Poorly Graded</i> <i>Sand with Clay (SP-SC);</i> moist; trace gravel. BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/05/2020	9.5		S-5 S-4	Groundwater	10				
					15				
					20				
					25				
					30				
LEGEND ★ Sample Not Recovered ↓ Standard Penetration Test Modified California Sampler		<u> </u>				0 Plastic	<u>20</u> ¢ % € % c Limit Natur	% Fines (< % Water (┣━━━ ral Water (40 60 :0.075mm) Content Liquid Limit Content
NOTES					B	eacon Lit El Pasc	ie Roa o Coui	ad Improv nty, Colc	vements orado
 Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, a definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. 	abbrevia	ations	and g of the		L	.OG OF	⁼ BO	RING \$	SW-09
 Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified an USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s The boring location was determined using a recreational grade G 	id may v elected PS unit	/ary. lab te: 	sting.	\vdash	April 20 SHANI Geotechnic	022 NON & W cal and Environr	ILSOP mental Cor	N, INC.	104129-001 FIG. A-10

Total Depth: 6.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.11788° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ -104.86811° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ing N ing C Rig I er Cc	1ethod: ;ompar Equipn ommen	:	Solid-Sten /ine Labo CME 55 T	m Auger pratories Truck	Hole Diam.: Rod Type.: Hammer Typ	4 in. AWJ e: Automatic
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRAT ▲ Hammer	FION RESIST Wt. & Drop: <u>1</u> 20	ANCE (blows/foot) 40 lbs / 30 inches 40 60
Aggregate Surfacing Loose to medium dense, brown to dark brown, <i>Silty Sand (SM);</i> moist; trace gravel. [A-1-b]	0.2		8-3 S-2 S-1	Encountered During Drilling.	5		.₩⇔	
BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/05/2020	6.5			Groundwater Not E	10			
					15 -			
					20			
					25			
					30			
LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered Standard Penetration Test				<u> </u>		0 Plastic L	20	<u></u> 40 60 <0.075mm) Content ┨ Liquid Limit Content
NOTES	obbrovi.	ationa	and		Be	eacon Lite El Paso (Road Impro County, Colo	vements orado
 Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, a definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. 		ations tandin	and g of the		L	.OG OF I	BORING :	SW-10
 Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified an USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s The boring location was determined using a recreational grade G 	id may v elected PS unit	/ary. lab te	sting.		April 20 SHANN Geotechnics	022	SON, INC.	104129-001 FIG. A-11

Total Depth: 6.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.11869° Top Elevation: Longitude: ~ -104.86778° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Drill	ling M ling C l Rig l er Cc	lethod: compar Equipn ommen	ny: nent: ts:	Solid-Stem Auger Hole Diam.: Vine Laboratories Rod Type.: nt: CME 55 Truck Hammer Ty								т.: е.: Тур		n. /J natio			
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	Water Depth, ft.	Р ▲	EN Ha	I ET am	RA me	r W	ON /t. &	RES Drop	IST	AN 140	CE / <u>bs</u> /	(blo <u>30 i</u>	ows inch	/foot) <u>es</u>
Aggregate Surfacing Loose to medium dense, brown to dark brown, <i>Silty, Clayey Sand (SC-SM);</i> moist; trace gravel. [A-2-4]	4.5		S-2 S-1	intered During Drilling.	_		(+								
SANDS FONE: extremely weak, yellow-brown to red-brown; highly weathered; iron oxide staining (Dawson Formation). [Very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.] BOTTOM OF BORING	6.5		8-3 8-3	oundwater Not Encou	5													
COMPLETED OF 05/05/2020				S	10													
					15	j												
					20													
					25													
					30													
						0				2	20			4	10			60
LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered ⊥ Standard Penetration Test							Ρ	Plas	stic	◇ ● Lin Na	> %) % hit atura	Fine Wa	es (ter ter	<0.07 Cor –] L Cont	75mr nter _iqu tent	n) It id Li	imit	
NOTES					E	Bea E	cor El F	n L Pas	_ite so	R Co	oac bun	l Im ty, C	prc Cole	over	me do	nts		
 Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. 	abbrevia unders	ations tandin	and g of the		I	_0	G	0	F	B	OF	RIN	G	G SW-11				
 Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified ar USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s 	nd may v selected	vary. lab te	sting.		April 2	2022	2	<u>0</u> 1		6	<u></u>	1814		10)41	29-	00	1
5. The boring location was determined using a recreational grade G	PS unit	Ι.			SHAN Geotechni	NU cal an	N d Er	č V nviro	nme	_S	Cons	, IN ultants	٦.	F	FIG	i . A	-12	2

	Total Depth: 11.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.11917° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ -104.86747° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz Datum: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill	ing N ing C Rig I	lethod: ompan Equipm	 y: ent: s [.]	olid-Sten íine Labo ME 55 T	<u>n Auger</u> Hole Diam.: <u>ratories</u> Rod Type.: <u>ruck</u> Hammer Typ	6 in.
	Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RESIST ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop:	ANCE (blows/foot) 140 lbs / 30 inches
VTS.GPJ 4/13/22	Aggregate Surfacing/Recycled Asphalt Aggregate Surfacing Medium dense, dark brown to brown, <i>Silty,</i> <i>Clayey Sand (SC-SM);</i> moist; trace gravel. [A-2-4] Loose to medium dense, brown to light gray, <i>Poorly Graded Sand (SP);</i> moist; trace silt and gravel. [A-1-b] - 1-inch seam of roots and organics at 5.5 feet. Very loose, dark brown, <i>Poorly Graded Sand with Clay (SP-SC);</i> moist; trace to few organics. Very loose to medium dense, <i>Poorly Graded Sand (SP);</i> moist. - Occasional clay nodules below 10 feet. BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/05/2020	0.2 0.4 3.5 7.0 8.6 11.5		S-5 S-4 S-3 S-2 S-1 B-1	Groundwater Not Encountered During Drilling.	5 10 15 20 25		
LITE RD IMPROVEME								
3 104129-001 BEACON	LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered ↓ Standard Penetration Test Grab Sample		·			I	0 20 ♦ % Fines (● % Water Plastic Limit H Natural Water (40 60 <0.075mm) Content ┨ Liquid Limit Content
ETPEN_LAT&LONG	<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes,	abbrevia	ations	and		Be	eacon Lite Road Impro El Paso County, Colo	vements orado
POCKE	 definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a prope nature of the subsurface materials. 	r unders	tandin	g of the		L	OG OF BORING	SW-12
Z LOG E	 Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified a USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and 	nd may selected	vary. Iab te:	sting.		April 20)22	104129-001
MASTEF	5. The boring location was determined using a recreational grade (GPS unit		-			ION & WILSON, INC. al and Environmental Consultants	FIG. A-13
								REV 3



Total Depth: 5.9 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.12271° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ -104.86778° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	Drill Drill Drill Oth	ing M ing C Rig I er Co	lethod: ompar Equipm mmen	 nent: ts:	Solid-S Vine La CME 5	Ster abo 55 T	<u>m Auger</u> Hole Diam.: <u>4 in.</u> <u>oratories</u> Rod Type.: <u>AWJ</u> <u>Truck</u> Hammer Type: <u>Automatic</u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	Water	Leptn, π.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (blows/foot) ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop: <u>140 lbs / 30 inches</u> 0 20 40 60
Aggregate Surfacing Dense, brown to light gray, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist; trace gravel. [A-2-7] Residuum [SANDSTONE: extremely weak, completely weathered (Dakota Sandstone).] SANDSTONE: extremely weak to very weak, gray to brown; highly weathered; occasional iron oxide staining (Dakota Sandstone). [Very dense, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist.]	0.3 4.5 5.9		S-3 S-2 S-1	oundwater Not Encountered During Drilling.		5	• 1 • 78/11 ⁴ /
COMPLETED ON 05/04/2020				ō		10	
						15	
					:	20	
					:	25	
					:	30	
LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered Standard Penetration Test							0 20 40 60 ♦ % Fines (<0.075mm) ♥ % Water Content Plastic Limit H H Liquid Limit Natural Water Content
NOTES	abbrovia	ations	and			Be	eacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado
 Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, a definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. 	scussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper understanding of the of the subsurface materials.						OG OF BORING SW-16
 Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified ar USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s 	nd may v elected	/ary. lab tes	sting.		April	120	022 104129-001
5. The boring location was determined using a recreational grade GPS unit.						NN: hnica	NON & WILSON, INC. FIG. A-15

Total Depth: 6.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.12327° Top Elevation: Longitude: ~ -104.8678° Vert. Datum: Station: Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ing N ing C Rig I er Co	lethod: compar Equipm ommen	ny: nent: ts:	Solid-Stem Auger Vine Laboratories CME 55 Truck						Ho Ro Ha	le [d T mn	Dian 「ype ner 「	n.: .: Typ	e:	Aı	6 I AV utoi	in. VJ mat	tic	
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	water Depth, ft.	P ▲	'EN . ⊢	NE lan	TR hm	AT er V	1 0N Vt. 7	I R & [ESI Drop	ST / :1	AN(40	DE <u>bs /</u>	(b ′ <u>30</u>	low inc	s/fe	500t)
Aggregate Surfacing Loose to medium dense, dark brown to brown, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist; few gravel. [A-2-6]	0.3		B-1 S-3 S-2 S-1	untered During Drilling.	-		•					×	1	8						
BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/04/2020	6.5		4 4	Groundwater Not Enco	5															
					10															
					15															
					20															
					25															
					30															
																		<u>.</u>		
LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered Grab Sample ⊥ Standard Penetration Test Modified California Sampler						U	F	Pla	stic	< c Lir N	> 9 ● 9 mit atui	% F % \ ┣- ral	Fine Wat ──● Wat	es (< er (4 0.07 Cor J L Cont	′5mr iten _iqu tent	n) 1t id L	_im	it	00
NOTES					В	ea E	co El	n l Pa	Lite Isc	e F o C	Roa Iou	ad nty	Imp y, C	oro olc	ver orac	nei do	nts	;		
 Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper 	abbrevia unders	ations tandin	and g of the		L	.0	G	i C)F	B	80	R	ING	G	SV	V-1	17			
nature of the subsurface materials. 3. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified ar 4. LISCS decignation is based on viewel manual descriptor and a		vary.	sting		April 2	02:	2								10)41	29)-0	01	
 Second and second residuation is based on visual-manual classification and second residuation and second residuatinand residuatinatination and second residuation and second resi	SPS unit	iad (8:	sung.		SHAN Geotechnic	NO al ar	N nd E	& Envir	W	ILS nenta		N, nsul	INC tants	;.	F	=IG). <i>f</i>	4-1	16	

Total Depth: 11.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.124° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ -104.86774° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	Drill Drill Drill Oth	ing N ing C Rig I er Co	lethod: compar Equipn ommen	ny: nent: ts:	_ Hole Diam.: _ Rod Type.: _ Hammer Typ	<u>4 in.</u> <u>AWJ</u> e: <u>Automatic</u>		
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	water Depth, ft.	PENETRA ▲ Hammer	TION RESIST. Wt. & Drop: <u>1</u>	ANCE (blows/foot) 40 lbs / 30 inches 40 60
Aggregate Surfacing Loose to medium dense, brown, <i>Clayey Sand</i> (<i>SC</i>); moist. [A-2-6 to A-6]	0.3		S-5 S-4 S-3 S-2 S-1	Groundwater Not Encountered During Drilling.	5 10			× · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/04/2020	11.5	1.1.4			15			
2					20 25			
TE RD IMPROVEMENTS.GPJ 4/12					30			
LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered Standard Penetration Test						0 Plastic I	20 ◇ % Fines (~ ● % Water (Limit ► Natural Water (40 60 0.075mm) Content Liquid Limit Content
NOTES NOTES 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, a definitions.	abbrevia	ations	and		B 	eacon Lite El Paso	Road Impro County, Colo	vements orado
 2. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. 3. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified and a subsurface materials. 	undersi	tandin _: vary.	g of the		⊾ Anril 2	022		104129-001
4. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s 5. The boring location was determined using a recreational grade G	elected iPS unit	lab te:	sting.		SHANI Geotechnic	NON & WIL	SON, INC.	FIG. A-17

Total Depth: 6.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.1248° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ 104.86783° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ling N ling C I Rig I ler Co	lethod: ompar Equipn ommen	: ny: nent: nts:	<u>Solid-Ste</u> Vine Lab CME 55	m Auger oratories Truck		Hole Rod Ham	e Diam.: Type.: imer Typ		4 A Autc	<u>in.</u> WJ omati	
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	Water Depth, ft.	PENET ▲ Ham	RAT mer V	ION Vt. & 20	RESIST Drop:	ANC 140 lk)E (1 <u>bs/3(</u> 0	blows) incl	s/foot) <u>hes</u> 60
Aggregate Surfacing Medium dense to very dense, dark brown, <i>Silty</i> <i>Sand (SM);</i> moist; few gravel, occasional clay nodules. [A-1-b]	4.5		S-2 S-1	untered During Drilling.		NP O							
(SC); moist; trace gravel. [A-2-6] BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/04/2020	- 6.5		r. S. S.	undwater Not Enco	5								
				Grou	10								
					15								
					20								
					25								
					30								
LEGEND ★ Sample Not Recovered ↓ Standard Penetration Test						0 Plas	 stic Lin N 	20 > % • % • mit • atura	Fines (Water	40.07 Con -1 L Conto	0 5mm) itent iquid ent	Limi	60 t
NOTES					B	eacon L El Pa	ite F so C	Roac oun	l Impro ty, Col	oven	nents lo	s	
 Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. 	abbrevia · unders	ations tandin	and g of the		L	.0G 0	F E	OF	RING	SN	V -19	•	
 Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified ar USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s The boring location was determined using a recreational grade C 	nd may selected SPS unit	vary. Iab te: t.	sting.	-	April 2 SHAN	022	WILS	SON,	, INC.	10	4129	϶-00 Δ-1)1 8

Total Depth: 6.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.12557° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ 104.86772° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ling N ling C I Rig I ler Co	lethod: ompar Equipn ommen	1y: <u>v</u> 19: <u>v</u> 1ent: <u>(</u> ts:	Solid-Ster /ine Labo CME 55 1	em Auger Hole Diam.: <u>6 in.</u> oratories Rod Type.: <u>AWJ</u> <u>Truck</u> Hammer Type: <u>Automatic</u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RESISTANCE (blows/for ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop: <u>140 lbs / 30 inches</u> 0 20 40
Aggregate Surfacing Loose to medium dense, brown to light brown, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist; few gravel. [A-2-6] - 1-inch gravel layer at 2.9 feet.	0.3		B-1S-2 S-1	ountered During Drilling.	5	
BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/04/2020	6.5		S	Groundwater Not Enc	10	
					15	
					20	
					25	
					30	
LEGEND						0 20 40
 ★ Sample Not Recovered ☐ Standard Penetration Test [☐ Grab Sample 						 ◇ % Fines (<0.075mm) ● % Water Content Plastic Limit
<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, a	abbrevi	ations	and		B	Beacon Lite Road Improvements El Paso County, Colorado
 definitions. 2. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials. 3. Groundwater level if indicated above is for the date specified and the subsurface materials. 	unders	tandin	g of the		L	LOG OF BORING SW-20
 USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s The boring location was determined using a recreational grade G 	elected	i lab te: t.	sting.		SHANI Geotechnic	NON & WILSON, INC. FIG. A-19

	Total Depth: 11.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.12628° Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ -104.8677° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	_ Dril _ Dril _ Dril _ Oth	ling I ling (I Rig er Co	Vethod: Compar Equipn ommen	ny: nent: ts:	Solid-Sten Vine Labo CME 55 T	n Auger Hole Diam. bratories Rod Type.: <u>fruck</u> Hammer Ty	: <u>4 in.</u> <u>AWJ</u> /pe: <u>Automatic</u>
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	water Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RESIS ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop: 0 20	TANCE (blows/foot) 140 lbs / 30 inches 40
	Aggregate Surfacing Loose, dark brown, <i>Silty Sand (SM);</i> moist; trace gravel. [A-2-4] -5-inch thick loose, clayey sand seam at 2.4 feet Loose, brown to light brown, <i>Poorly Graded</i> <i>Sand with Clay (SP-SC)</i> to <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist: trace gravel. [A, 2, 6]	0.2 2.4 2.8 4.5		s:3 s-2 s-1	er Not Encountered During Drilling.	5		
	Loose to medium dense, brown to red-brown, <i>Poorly Graded Sand with Silt (SP-SM);</i> moist; trace gravel, occasional clay nodules and layers.	11.4		8-5 S-4	Groundwat	10		
	Medium dense, red-brown, <i>Poorly Graded</i> <i>Sand with Silt (SP-SM);</i> moist. Residiuum [SANDSTONE: extremetely weak; completely weathered (Dawson Formation).] BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/04/2020	11.5				15 -		
						20		
1/13/22						25		
ITE RD IMPROVEMENTS.GPJ 4						30		
NG 104129-001 BEACON LI	LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered Standard Penetration Test			1	1		0 20	40 60 (<0.075mm) r Content I Liquid Limit r Content
LAT&LO	NOTED					Be	eacon Lite Road Imp El Paso County, Co	ovements lorado
F POCKETPEN	<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, definitions. 2. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper nature of the subsurface materials.	abbrevi [.] unders	ations tandir	and ng of the		L	OG OF BORING	SW-21
LOG E	 Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified ar USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s 	nd may selected	vary. I lab te	esting.		April 20	022	104129-001
MASTER	5. The boring location was determined using a recreational grade G	SPS uni	t.	5		SHANN Geotechnica	NON & WILSON, INC. al and Environmental Consultants	FIG. A-20

Total Depth: 6.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.12725' Top Elevation: ~ Longitude: ~ -104.86772 Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~ SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface	, Dril <u>?</u> Dril Dril Oth 	Iling M Iling Co Il Rig E her Cor	ethod: ompan Equipm mment	<u>iv</u> : <u>v</u> ient: <u>C</u> s: afer	<u>olid-Ster</u> ine Labo ME 55 1 ME 55 1 ↓ ↓	<u>m Auger</u> pratories Truck PENE ▲ Ha	ETRA mmer	Holo Roc Har TION	e Diam.: I Type.: nmer Typ RESIS		4 Auto 2E (bs/3	blow	ic s/foo
 materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual. Aggregate Surfacing Medium dense to dense, brown, <i>Silty, Clayey Sand (SC-SM);</i> moist; trace gravel. [A-1-b] 		Syr Syr	Sam	uring Drilling. Gro	Dep	0		20		4	0		6
Loose to medium dense, dark brown, <i>Silty</i> <i>Sand (SM);</i> moist to wet. [A-2-4] Choose, brown, <i>Clayey Sand (SC);</i> moist; trace	-3.0 -6.2 $\sqrt{6.5}$		S-3 S-2	r Not Encountered D	5		*) : : : :					
\gravel. BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/04/2020				Groundwate	10								
					15								
					20								
					25								
					30								
LEGEND						0		20 ◇ %	6 Fines	4	0 (5mm)		(
T Standard Penetration Test						Pla	astic L	● % ₋imit Natura	b Water ┣──● al Water	Con L Cont	tent iquid ent	Limi	it
NOTES	es abbrevi	iations	and		B	eacon El Pa	Lite aso (Roa Cour	d Impro nty, Col	oven	nent lo	S	
 definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a propriature of the subsurface materials. Crowndwrtes level if indicated above in factor approximation of the subsurface materials. 	per unders	standing	g of the		L	OG (OF	BOI	RING	SN	1-22	2	
 Groundwater level, in indicated above, is for the date specified USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification an The boring location was determined using a recreational grade 	d selected e GPS uni	vary. I lab tes it.	sting.		April 20	022	WIL vironmer	.SON	I, INC.	10	4129 IG .	9-00 A-2)1 21 21

Total Depth: 6.5 ft. Latitude: ~ 39.12804° Top Elevation: Longitude: ~ -104.8677° Vert. Datum: Station: ~ Horiz. Datum: Offset: ~	Drill Drill Drill Orill	ling N ling C I Rig I ler Co	lethod: compar Equipn ommen	ny: nent: ts:	Solid-Stei Vine Labo CME 55 T	m Au orato Truci	uger ories k	•		Hol Roc Hai	le E d T mm	Diam ype. ner T	.: : ⁻ урє		A	4 i AV utor	in. VJ mat	ic	_
SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	vvater Depth, ft.		E NE Hai	TR mm	er V	1 ON Wt. 8	i R i & D	ESI: Drop:	STA _ <u>1</u> -	ANG 40 4	CE <u>bs /</u> 0	(bl ' <u>30</u>	low: inc	s/fo hes	ot)
Aggregate Surfacing/Recycled Asphalt Medium dense, brown to red-brown, <i>Clayey</i> <i>Sand (SC);</i> moist; trace to few gravel. [A-2-6]	0.3		S-2 S-1	itered During Drilling.								×		-1					
- Coarser sand below 5 feet. BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED ON 05/04/2020	6.5		v	dwater Not Encour	5			•			*								
				Ground	10														
					15														
					20														
					25														
					30														
										20					0				60
LEGEND ★ Sample Not Recovered ↓ Standard Penetration Test						0	Pla	astio	< c Lir N	≥ 9 ● 9 mit latur	6 F 6 V ┣─ al \	Fine: Vate ⊕ Wate	s (< er C er C	0.07 Con L Cont	/5mr iter iqu	n) 1t id L	.imi	it	00
NOTES					B	eac E	con El Pa	Lit aso	e F b C	Roa iour	ıd I nty	Imp ⁄, C	oro\ olo	/er rac	ne do	nts	;		
 Refer to Figures A-1 and A-2 for explanation of symbols, codes, definitions. The discussion in the text of this report is necessary for a proper 	abbrevi unders	ations tandin	and g of the		L	.00	GO	OF	: B	SO	RI	NC	3 {	SM	V-2	23			
nature of the subsurface materials. 3. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified ar 4. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and s	nd may	vary.	sting		April 2	022	2							10	941	29	-0(01	
5. The boring location was determined using a recreational grade G	of the subsurface materials. Jwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified and may vary. designation is based on visual-manual classification and selected lab testing. pring location was determined using a recreational grade GPS unit.													F	G	i. A	۹-2	22	

Total Top I Vert. Horiz	l Depth: Elevation: . Datum: z. Datum:	25.5 ft. ~	Latitude: _ Longitude <u>:</u> Station: _ Offset: _	~ 39.12932° ~ -104.8677° ~ ~	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ing M ing C Rig I er Co	lethod: ompar Equipm mmen	ny: nent: ts:	Solid-Ster Vine Labo CME 55 T	m Auger oratories Truck	F F	Hole Diam.: Rod Type.: Hammer Ty	 	6 A Autc	in. WJ omatic
Refer t materia repres	to the report text als and drilling m sent the approxir the	SOIL DES t for a proper ethods. The mate boundar transition ma	CRIPTION Inderstanding of tratification line es between ma / be gradual.	of the subsurface as indicated below aterial types, and	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	vvater Depth, ft.	PENET ▲ Hamr	RATIC mer W 2	DN RESIS t. & Drop: _	TANC 140 II	CE (1 <u>bs / 3(</u> 0	blows/foot) <u>0 inches</u> 6(
6 in. Asp Loo red- grav Mec moi: Den [A-6 SAN to re oxid [Me (SC	ches of Agg bhalt bise to mediu -brown, <i>Clay</i> vel. [A-6] dium dense, ist. [A-6] nse, red-brow 6] NDSTONE: ed-brown; hi de staining. edium dense c) to <i>Silty Sa</i> completely we	regate Su m dense, <i>/ey Sand</i> (dark brow wn, <i>Claye</i> j extremely ighly to slig to very de <i>nd</i> (<i>SM</i>); r	facing/Rec dark brown <i>SC);</i> moist; m, <i>Clayey</i> S <i>sand (SC)</i> weak, yello ghtly weath ense, <i>Claye</i> noist.] om 15 to 1	to trace Sand (SC);); moist.); moist. ww-brown ered; iron y Sand 7 feet.	 0.5 4.5 6.8 8.5 		-8 S-7 S-6 S-5 S-4 S-2 B-1S-1	Groundwater Not Encountered During Drilling.	5 10 15 20			proximate I		n of C	> <u>u</u> t 50/67
ON LITE RD IMPROVEMENTS.GPJ 4/13/22	BO COMP	TTOM OF	BORING N 07/16/20	20	25.5		0		30	0	2	0	4	0	
NG 104129-001 BEACC		* S ⊥ S ⊡ G ▼ M	LEGEND ample Not Rec andard Penetra rab Sample odified Californ	overed ation Test ia Sampler						Plasi	¢ ● tic Lim Na	% Fines % Water it I ● tural Water	(<0.07 Con - ┨ L · Cont	5mm) tent iquid ent	Limit
1. Boocketten Lat&Lo	oring log revised efer to Figures A efinitions.	l from our Sep A-1 and A-2 fo	<u>NOTES</u> tember 10, 202 r explanation o	2 21 geotechnical re f symbols, codes,	port. abbrevia	ations	and		Be	eacon L El Pas . OG O	ite Ro so Co F B(oad Impr ounty, Co ORING	over lorac SV	nent 10 V-2 4	s 1
ш 3. Th 0 na	he discussion in ature of the subs	the text of thi surface material	s report is nece als.	essary for a proper	unders	tanding	g of the		April 20	022			10)412!	9-001
4. G	SCS designation	n is based on	/isual-manual o	classification and s	selected		SHANN Geotechnica	NON & V al and Enviror		ON, INC. Consultants	F	IG.	A-23		

Appendix B Laboratory Test Results

CONTENTS

B.1	Introd	uction	B-1
B.2	Geote	chnical Index Tests	B-1
	B.2.1	Water Content	B-1
	B.2.2	Grain Size Analysis	B-1
	B.2.3	Atterberg Limits	B-2
B.3	Geote	chnical Engineering Property Tests	B-2
	B.3.1	One-Dimensional Swell/ Collapse Tests	B-2
	B.3.2	Corrosion	B-2
	B.3.3	R-value	B-3

Tables

Table B-1:Summary of Laboratory Test Results by Boring

Figures

Figure B-1:	Grain Size Chart
Figure B-2:	Plasticity Chart
Figure B-3:	Swell/Collapse Test Report, Boring SW-09, Sample S-3
Figure B-4:	Swell/Collapse Test Report, Boring SW-13, Sample S-3
Figure B-5:	Swell/Collapse Test Report, Boring SW-17, Sample S-3
Figure B-6:	Swell/Collapse Test Report, Boring SW-24, Sample S-3
Figure B-7:	R-Value Test Report Results, Boring SW-17, Sample B-1
Figure B-8:	R-Value Test Report Results, Boring SW-24, Sample B-1

B.1 INTRODUCTION

Laboratory tests were completed on soil and bedrock samples retrieved from the borings in general accordance with American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO), American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) International, and Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) methods. The laboratory testing program was performed to classify the materials into similar geologic groups and provide data that can be used for design of the project. The geotechnical laboratory testing was performed at our in-house laboratory in Denver, Colorado as well as Vine Laboratories, Inc. of Commerce City, Colorado (under subcontract to Shannon & Wilson). Testing consisted of index tests and geotechnical engineering property tests. A summary of the laboratory testing procedures.

B.2 GEOTECHNICAL INDEX TESTS

B.2.1 Water Content

Water content was determined for selected samples in general accordance with AASHTO T265, Laboratory Determination of Moisture Content in Soils. To perform this test, a sample was weighed before and after oven-drying, and the water content was calculated. Water content determinations are shown graphically on the boring logs and are also summarized in Table B-1. A water content test was generally taken on each sample, except for sands and gravels below the groundwater table where free water was observed in the sample jar.

B.2.2 Grain Size Analysis

The grain size distribution of selected samples was determined in general accordance with AASHTO T311, Standard Method of Test for Grain-Size Analysis of Granular Soil Materials. Results of these analyses are presented as grain size distribution curves by boring number series on Figure B-1 and summarized in Table B-1.

Selected samples were tested for the percentage of material passing the No. 200 sieve in general accordance with ASTM D1140, Standard Test Methods for Determining the Amount of Material Finer than 75- μ m (No. 200) Sieve in Soils by Washing. The percent fines (silt-and clay-sized particles passing the No. 200 sieve) are shown graphically on the boring logs in Appendix A and are also summarized in Table B-1.

B.2.3 Atterberg Limits

Soil plasticity was determined by performing Atterberg limits tests on selected fine-grained samples. The tests were completed in general accordance with AASHTO T89, Standard Test Method for Determining the Liquid Limit of Soils and AASHTO T90, Standard Test Method for Determining the Plastic Limit and Plasticity Index of Soils. The Atterberg limits include liquid limit (LL), plastic limit (PL), and plasticity index (PI equals LL minus PL) and are generally used to assist in classification of soils, to indicate soil consistency (when compared to natural water content), and to provide correlation to soil properties. The results of the Atterberg limits tests are plotted on a plasticity chart on Figure B-2, shown graphically on the boring logs in Appendix A, and summarized in Table B-1.

B.3 GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING PROPERTY TESTS

B.3.1 One-Dimensional Swell/Collapse Tests

One-dimensional swell/collapse tests were performed in general accordance with Method B of ASTM D 4546, Standard Test Methods for One-Dimensional Swell or Collapse of Soils. Relatively undisturbed drive samples were obtained modified California sampler lined with a thin-walled bass tube. The sample was then loaded at field moisture conditions in a fixed-ring consolidometer that measures vertical changes in volume for different loading conditions. During loading, the sample's pore pressures are allowed to drain from both the top and bottom of the sample. At a specified pressure, the sample is inundated with distilled water and then allowed to reach equilibrium. The vertical volume change caused from the water inundation was then measured and expressed in percent strain. The swell/collapse test reports are provided as Figures B-3 through B-6.

B.3.2 Corrosion

Corrosion testing was performed on select on soil samples for pH, resistivity, sulfate content, and chloride content. Testing for pH and resistivity were completed in general accordance with AASHTO T289, Standard Method of Test for Determining pH of Soil for Use in Corrosion Testing and AASHTO T288, Standard Method of Test for Determining Minimum Laboratory Soil Resistivity, respectively. Sulfate content testing was completed in general accordance with CDOT laboratory procedure CP-L 2103, Sulfate Ion Content in Soil. Chloride content testing was completed in general accordance with AASHTO T291, Standard Method of Test for Determining Water-Soluble Chloride Ion Content in Soil. Test results for sulfate and chloride content are given in units of percent by weight. The test results are summarized in Table B-1.

B.3.3 R-value

Hveem Staboilometer (R-value) test was completed by Vine Laboratories, Inc., of Commerce City, Colorado on a bulk subgrade sample. The test was completed in general accordance with AASHTO T190, Standard Method of Test for Resistance R-Value and Expansion Pressure of Compacted Soils. The R-value test results are summarized in Table B-1 and presented on Figures B-7 and B-8.

Beacon Lite Road Improvements Geotechnical Report

	SAMPLE	DATA				Natural	Moist	GRAIN-	SIZE ANAI	LYSES ²	ATTE	RBERG I	LIMITS	SWELL/C	OLLAPSE		CORI	ROSIVITY		
		De (f	epth		AASHTO	Moisture	Unit							Swell (+)	Inundation			Sulfate	Chloride	
Boring	Sample	Тор	Bottom	USCS Svmbol ¹	Soil Classification	Content (%)	Weight (pcf)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Fines (%)	LL (%)	PL (%)	PI (%)	Collapse (-) (%)	Pressure (psf)	рН	Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Content (%)	Content (%)	R-Value
<u> </u>	S-1	2.5	4.0			8.6			~ /			~ /			<u>v</u> 7		<u> </u>			
CW/ 01	S-2	5.0	6.5	SC-SM	A-2-4 (0)	3.1		3	76	21	24	17	7							
500-01	S-3	7.5	9.0			3.4														
	S-4	10.0	11.5			7.1														
	S-1	2.5	4.0			4.5														
	S-2	5.0	6.5	SC	A-2-7 (1)	6.3		3	76	21	41	20	21							
	S-3	10.0	10.4			6.5														
	S-4	15.0	15.4			9.0										8.2	6,650	< 0.01	0.03	
S/M 02	S-5	20.0	20.3			9.4														
300-02	S-6	25.0	25.3			9.0														
	S-7	30.0	30.8			10.9														
	S-8	35.0	35.9			11.3														
	S-9	40.0	40.4			9.0														
	S-10	45.0	45.3			7.9														
	S-1	2.5	4.0			2.6														
	S-2	5.0	6.5			5.5														
	S-3	10.0	11.5			5.9		4	76	20										
	S-4	15.0	15.8			8.9														
SW-03	S-5	20.0	20.5			6.9		2	64	34										
	S-6A	25.0	25.4			8.4														
	S-6C	25.4	25.9	СН	A-7-6 (29)	15.9				76	59	22	37							
	S-7	30.0	30.3			10.4														
	S-8	35.0	35.6			11.0														

Beacon Lite Road Improvements Geotechnical Report

	SAMPLE	DATA				Natural	Moist	GRAIN-	SIZE ANA	LYSES ²	ATTER	RBERG L	IMITS	SWELL/C	OLLAPSE		COR	ROSIVITY		
		De 	eet)		AASHTO	Moisture	Unit			-				Swell (+)	Inundation			Sulfate	Chloride	
Borina	Sample	Top	Bottom	USCS Symbol ¹	Soll Classification	Content (%)	Weight (pcf)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Fines (%)	LL (%)	PL (%)	PI (%)	Collapse (-) (%)	Pressure (nsf)	рН	Resistivity	Content (%)	Content (%)	R-Value
	S-1	2.5	4 0	Symbol	olussinoution	4.3	(601)	(70)	(70)	(/0)	(/0)	(70)	(70)	(70)	(621)			(70)	(70)	it value
	S-2	4.0	5.5			4.7														
	S-3	9.0	10.5			3.8		2	76	22										
	S-4	14.0	14.5			6.4														
SW-04	S-5	19.0	19.4			6.3		0.3	59	41										
	S-6	24.0	24.3			5.7														
	S-7	29.0	29.2			9.6														
	S-8	34.0	34.5			9.9														
	S-9	39.0	39.5			9.5														
	S-1	2.5	4.0			6.3														
	S-2	4.0	5.5			13.7		1	48	51										
	S-3	9.0	10.5			7.8														
	S-4	14.0	14.5			11.3														
SW-05	S-5	19.0	19.4			17.9														
	S-6	24.0	24.3	СН	A-7-6 (33)	19.9				92	55	22	33							
	S-7	29.0	29.2			11.5														
	S-8	34.0	34.5			9.7														
	S-9	39.0	39.5			13.6														
	S-1	2.5	4.0			8.6														
	S-2	4.0	4.9			7.7														
	S-3	9.0	9.5			7.8														
SW-06	S-4	14.0	14.4	SC	A-2-7 (1)	8.2		3	74	23	42	18	24							
511 00	S-5	19.0	19.4			8.6														
	S-6	24.0	24.4			10.4														
	S-7	29.0	29.4			8.8														
	S-8	34.0	34.3			11.0														
	B-1	1.0	5.0	SC-SM	A-1-b (0)	5.2		4	75	22	20	16	4							
SW-08	S-1	1.0	2.5			5.9														
5 50	S-2	2.5	4.0			6.7														
	S-3	5.0	6.5			7.5														

Beacon Lite Road Improvements Geotechnical Report

	SAMPLE	DATA				Natural	Moist	GRAIN	SIZE ANA	LYSES ²	ATTE	RBERG I	IMITS	SWELL/C	OLLAPSE		CORF	ROSIVITY		
		De (f	epth eet)		AASHTO	Moisture	Unit							Swell (+)	Inundation			Sulfate	Chloride	
Borina	Sample	Top	Bottom	USCS Symbol ¹	Soil Classification	Content (%)	Weight (ncf)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Fines (%)	LL (%)	PL (%)	PI (%)	Collapse (-) (%)	Pressure (nsf)	nH	Resistivity	Content (%)	Content	R-Value
Dornig	S-1	1.0	25	Symbol	olussineution	54	(pci)	(70)	(70)	(70)	(70)	(70)	(70)	(70)	(p3i)			(70)	(70)	IN VUICE
	<u> </u>	2.5	4.0			8.9														
SW-09		5.0	6.0	SC	A-2-6 (1)	9.8	114.7			26	32	15	17	-0.2	150					
	S-4	7.5	9.0			12.0														
	S-5	10.0	11.5			7.9														
	S-1	1.0	2.5	SM	A-1-b (0)	5.8		5	74	21	19	18	1							
SW-10	S-2	2.5	4.0			4.5														
	S-3	5.0	6.5			7.1														
	S-1	1.0	2.5	SC-SM	A-2-4 (0)	7.8		3	70	28	22	18	4							
SW-11	S-2	2.5	4.0			6.1														
	S-3	5.0	6.5			10.3														
	S-1	1.0	2.5	SC-SM	A-2-4 (0)	7.5		0.5	77	23	22	18	4							
	S-2A	2.5	3.5			7.9														
	S-2B	3.5	4.0			6.0														
SW-12	S-3	5.0	6.5			6.5														
	S-4A	7.5	8.6			10.2														
	S-4B	8.6	9.0			6.5														
	S-5	10.0	11.5			8.6														
	S-1	1.0	2.5	SC-SM	A-1-b (0)	5.6		3	76	21	20	15	5							
SW-13	S-2A	2.5	3.7			4.8														
500-15	S-2B	3.7	4.0			10.8														
	S-3	5.0	6.0	SC	A-2-4 (0)	8.9	124.2			19	24	15	9	0	150					
	S-1	1.0	2.5			9.7														
SW-16	S-2	2.5	4.0	SC	A-2-7 (3)	9.6		2	72	26	50	14	36							
	S-3	5.0	5.9			10.2														
	B-1	1.0	5.0	SC	A-2-6 (0)	5.6		13	65	22	26	14	12			7.4	1,100	0.01	0.109	30.1
	S-1	0.0	0.3			1.8														
SW-17	S-2	1.0	2.5			12.7														
	S-3	2.5	3.5	SC	A-2-6 (0)	6.2	118.0	-		20	31	15	16	0	150					
	S-4	5.0	6.5			8.9														

Beacon Lite Road Improvements Geotechnical Report

	SAMPLE	DATA				Natural	Moist	GRAIN-	SIZE ANA	LYSES ²	ATTE	RBERG I	lmits	SWELL/C	OLLAPSE		COR	ROSIVITY		
		D (f	epth		AASHTO	Moisture	Unit							Swell (+)	Inundation			Sulfate	Chloride	
Boring	Sample	Тор	Bottom	USCS Svmbol ¹	Soil Classification	Content (%)	Weight (pcf)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Fines (%)	LL (%)	PL (%)	PI (%)	Collapse (-) (%)	Pressure (psf)	Ηα	Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Content (%)	Content (%)	R-Value
	S-1	1.0	2.5			10.3			()	~ /							``			
	S-2	2.5	4.0	SC	A-6 (2)	11.0		0	61	39	29	13	16							
SW-18	S-3	5.0	6.5			11.4		-												
	S-4	7.5	9.0			11.6		-												
	S-5	10.0	11.5			13.2		-												
	S-1	1.0	2.5	SM	A-1-b (0)	4.4		12	69	19	NV	NP	NP							
011/40	S-2A	2.5	3.4			3.7														
SW-19	S-2B	3.4	4.5			9.4														
	S-3	5.0	6.5			15.4														
	B-1	1.0	5.0	SC	A-2-6 (0)	5.4		5	71	24	26	13	13							
CM 20	S-1	1.0	2.5			10.0														
SW-20	S-2	2.5	4.0			8.9														
	S-3	5.0	6.5			10.7														
	S-1	1.0	2.5	SM	A-2-4 (0)	9.3		1	76	23	20	18	2							
	S-2A	2.5	2.8			10.5														
SW/ 21	S-2B	2.8	4.0			10.5														
300-21	S-3	5.0	6.5			9.4														
	S-4	7.5	9.0			10.3														
	S-5	10.0	11.5			10.8														
	S-1	1.0	2.5	SC-SM	A-1-b (0)	4.7		5	77	18	21	17	4							
	S-2A	2.5	3.0			4.0														
SW-22	S-2B	3.0	4.0			11.8														
	S-3A	5.0	6.2			13.2														
	S-3B	6.2	6.5			15.0														
	S-1	1.0	2.5	SC	A-2-6 (2)	9.9				29	39	15	24							
SW-23	S-2	2.5	4.0			10.1														
	S-3	5.0	6.5			11.0														

Beacon Lite Road Improvements Geotechnical Report

Table B-1 - Summary of Laboratory Test Results

SAMPLE DATA						Natural	Moist	GRAIN-SIZE ANALYSES ²			ATTERBERG LIMITS			SWELL/C		CORROSIVITY				
		Depth (feet)		USCS	AASHTO Soil	Moisture Content	Unit Weight	Gravel	Sand	Fines	LL	PL	PI	Swell (+) Collapse (-)	Inundation Pressure		Resistivity	Sulfate Content	Chloride Content	
Boring	Sample	Тор	Bottom	Symbol ¹	Classification	(%)	(pcf)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(psf)	рΗ	(ohm-cm)	(%)	(%)	R-Value
SW-24	B-1	1.0	5.0	SC	A-2-6 (0)	6.4		5	66	29	27	14	13			8.5	485	< 0.01	0.118	24.8
	S-1	1.0	2.5			9.9														
	S-2	2.5	4.0			5.7														
	S-3	5.0	6.0	SC	A-6 (3)	11.7	119.3			37	37	14	23	0	150					
	S-4A	7.5	8.5			14.6														
	S-4B	8.5	9.0			9.5														
	S-5	10.0	11.5			10.4														
	S-6	15.0	16.5			13.4														
	S-7	20.0	21.0			12.5														
	S-8	25.0	25.5			11.7														

NOTES:

1 Refer to Appendix A, Figure A-1 for definitions.

2 Gravel defined as particles larger than the No. 4 sieve size, Sand as particles between the No. 4 and No. 200 sieve sizes, and Fines as particles passing the No. 200 sieve.

LL = Liquid Limit; ohm-cm = ohm centimeters; pcf = pounds per cubic foot; PI = Plasticity Index; PL = Plastic Limit; psf = pounds per square foot; USCS = Unified Soil Classification System



ŝΑ MAIN 104129-001 BEACON LITE RD IMPROVEMENTS.GPJ 8/26/21



MAIN 104129-001 BEACON LITE RD IMPROVEMENTS.GPJ 8/26/21





MAIN 104129-001 BEACON LITE RD IMPROVEMENTS.GPJ 8/26/21








El Paso County













Appendix C

Pavement Design Calculations

Exhibits

Exhibit C-1: Traffic Projections (Michael Baker International, 2020)

- Exhibit C-2: Flexible Pavement 18-kip [Equivalent Single-Axle Loading (ESAL) Worksheet
- Exhibit C-3: Beacon Lite Road Flexible Pavement Design Worksheet
- Exhibit C-4: Flexible Pavement Design Nomograph

Michael Baker

INTERNATIONAL





Figure 9: Adjusted 2020 ADT at Beacon Lite Road and Wakonda Way



The peak hour turning movement counts were compared with the peak hour tube counts and the tube counts were somewhat higher even without applying the seasonal adjustment factor. In the interest of providing a more conservative analysis, the seasonally adjusted peak hour data from the tube counts was used instead of the turning movement counts. The volumes from the tube counts were distributed at the intersections consistent with the distribution of traffic in the **Michael Baker**

INTERNATIONAL

Heavy Vehicles

turning movement counts. The estimated AM peak hour and the PM peak hour data are shown in Figure 10 and Figure 11 included in the figure are the peak hour factor (PHF) and the percentage of heavy vehicles (HV%) that were observed from the TMCs. At Wakonda Way there was only approach data on the southbound approach so the PHF and HV% observed there were assumed for all three approaches.

Figure 10: Estimated 2020 Peak Hour Counts at Beacon Lite Road and County Line Road



INTERNATIONAL

Figure 16: ADT Projections for 2040-Low and 2040-High at Beacon Lite Road and County Line Road and at Beacon Lite Road and Wakonda Way



Project No: 104129-001

Location: Beacon Lite Road

Comment: Analysis based on Table D.21 of the 1993 AASHTO Guide for the Design of Pavement Structures

Pav 2020 Two-way Averaş	Traffic Stu Pav vement Design ge Daily Traffi 20 Estimated 20 Growth	udy Year: ing Year: Life (D): c (ADT): 21 ADT: 041 ADT: Rate (r) :	2020 2021 20 450 475 1,427 5.65	Equationsyears $b = 2021 \text{ ADT } * (a/100)$ vehicles per day (vpd) $c = b * 365$ vpd = 2020 ADT (1+r/100)^1 $e = c * d$ vpd = 2020 ADT (1+r/100)^21 $g = e * f$ % $j = g * h * i$						
Vehicle Classification and Description	a Traffic Percentage	b 2021 ADT	c 2021 Total Traffic	d Growth Factors	e 20 yr Design Traffic Volume (total two-way volume)	f Flexible Pavement Equivalency Factor	g Roadway Design 18k ESAL	h Directional Distribution Factor	i Traffic Lane Factor	j Design Lane 18k ESAL
Passenger Cars	96.0	456	166,589	35.43	5,902,429	0.003	17,707	1.00	1.00	17,707
Single Unit Trucks	2.0	10	3,471	35.43	122,967	0.249	30,619	1.00	1.00	30,619
Combination Trucks	2.0	10	3,471	35.43	122,967	1.087	133,665	1.00	1.00	133,665
Total	100	475	173,530		6,148,363		181,991			181,991
								_		

Notes

1. The current and projected ADT and percentage of truck traffic is based on traffic data provided by Michael Baker.

Design ESAL 182,000

2. The flexible pavement equivalency factors, directional distribution factor, and traffic lane factor is based on Appendix H of the 2020 CDOT Pavement Design Manual. Shannon & Wilson assumed a 50:50 ratio of single unit trucks and combination trucks.



Layer Analysis

Pavement Materials Characterization: 8.

104129-001

Job No.:

Layer	Material	Structural Layer Coefficients	Drainage Coefficients	Layer Modulus (psi)	
1	HMA	a ₁ : 0.44	-	-	
2	ABC	a ₂ : 0.11	m ₂ : 1.00	30,000	
3		a ₃ :	m ₃ :		

9. Solutions for Thicknesses: [Figure 3.2, Part II of 1993 AASHTO]

$$SN_{1}^{*} = a_{1}D_{1}^{*} \ge SN_{1}$$

 $SN_{2}^{*} = a_{1}D_{1}^{*} + a_{2}D_{2}^{*}m_{2} \ge SN_{2}$

$$SN_{3}^{*} = a_{1}D_{1}^{*} + a_{2}D_{2}^{*}m_{2}^{*} + a_{3}D_{3}^{*}m_{3} \ge SN_{3}$$

Recommended Thicknesses								
Layer	Material	Thickness (D* _i)	SN* _i	SN_i				
1	HMA	4.0 inches	1.760	1.375				
2	ABC	8.0 inches	2.640	2.580				
3		inches						

Note: Required SN <= Pavement SN, Design is Acceptable





104129-001

11-32

Appendix D

Conceptual Roadway Plans

Exhibits

Exhibit D-1 Beacon Lite Road Plan Profiles, Sheets 4 through 20 (Michael Baker, 2020b)



































RDADWAY

Subset Sheets: 17 OF 26



Print Date: 2/7/2020		Sheet Revisions				As-Constructed	BEACO
File Name: 175644_RDWY_Plan.dwg		Date:	Comments	Init.			1
Horiz. Scale: 1''=50' Vert. Scale: N/A	\square				EL PASO COUNTY	No Revisions:	
Unit Information Unit Leader Initials	\square				Log 100	Revised:	Designer:
Michael Baker	$\left \right $				COLORADO		Detailer:
INTERNATIONAL					COLORADO	Void:	Sheet Subse

Important Information

About Your Geotechnical Report

CONSULTING SERVICES ARE PERFORMED FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES AND FOR SPECIFIC CLIENTS.

Consultants prepare reports to meet the specific needs of specific individuals. A report prepared for a civil engineer may not be adequate for a construction contractor or even another civil engineer. Unless indicated otherwise, your consultant prepared your report expressly for you and expressly for the purposes you indicated. No one other than you should apply this report for its intended purpose without first conferring with the consultant. No party should apply this report for any purpose other than that originally contemplated without first conferring with the consultant.

THE CONSULTANT'S REPORT IS BASED ON PROJECT-SPECIFIC FACTORS.

A geotechnical/environmental report is based on a subsurface exploration plan designed to consider a unique set of project-specific factors. Depending on the project, these may include the general nature of the structure and property involved; its size and configuration; its historical use and practice; the location of the structure on the site and its orientation; other improvements such as access roads, parking lots, and underground utilities; and the additional risk created by scope-of-service limitations imposed by the client. To help avoid costly problems, ask the consultant to evaluate how any factors that change subsequent to the date of the report may affect the recommendations. Unless your consultant indicates otherwise, your report should not be used (1) when the nature of the proposed project is changed (for example, if an office building will be erected instead of a parking garage, or if a refrigerated warehouse will be built instead of an unrefrigerated one, or chemicals are discovered on or near the site); (2) when the size, elevation, or configuration of the proposed project is altered; (3) when the location or orientation of the proposed project is modified; (4) when there is a change of ownership; or (5) for application to an adjacent site. Consultants cannot accept responsibility for problems that may occur if they are not consulted after factors that were considered in the development of the report have changed.

SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS CAN CHANGE.

Subsurface conditions may be affected as a result of natural processes or human activity. Because a geotechnical/environmental report is based on conditions that existed at the time of subsurface exploration, construction decisions should not be based on a report whose adequacy may have been affected by time. Ask the consultant to advise if additional tests are desirable before construction starts; for example, groundwater conditions commonly vary seasonally.

Construction operations at or adjacent to the site and natural events such as floods, earthquakes, or groundwater fluctuations may also affect subsurface conditions and, thus, the continuing adequacy of a geotechnical/environmental report. The consultant should be kept apprised of any such events and should be consulted to determine if additional tests are necessary.

MOST RECOMMENDATIONS ARE PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENTS.

Site exploration and testing identifies actual surface and subsurface conditions only at those points where samples are taken. The data were extrapolated by your consultant, who then applied judgment to render an opinion about overall subsurface conditions. The actual interface between materials may be far more gradual or abrupt than your report indicates. Actual conditions in areas not sampled may differ from those predicted in your report. While nothing can be done to prevent such situations, you and your consultant can work together to help reduce their impacts. Retaining

your consultant to observe subsurface construction operations can be particularly beneficial in this respect.

A REPORT'S CONCLUSIONS ARE PRELIMINARY.

The conclusions contained in your consultant's report are preliminary, because they must be based on the assumption that conditions revealed through selective exploratory sampling are indicative of actual conditions throughout a site. Actual subsurface conditions can be discerned only during earthwork; therefore, you should retain your consultant to observe actual conditions and to provide conclusions. Only the consultant who prepared the report is fully familiar with the background information needed to determine whether or not the report's recommendations based on those conclusions are valid and whether or not the contractor is abiding by applicable recommendations. The consultant who developed your report cannot assume responsibility or liability for the adequacy of the report's recommendations if another party is retained to observe construction.

THE CONSULTANT'S REPORT IS SUBJECT TO MISINTERPRETATION.

Costly problems can occur when other design professionals develop their plans based on misinterpretation of a geotechnical/environmental report. To help avoid these problems, the consultant should be retained to work with other project design professionals to explain relevant geotechnical, geological, hydrogeological, and environmental findings, and to review the adequacy of their plans and specifications relative to these issues.

BORING LOGS AND/OR MONITORING WELL DATA SHOULD NOT BE SEPARATED FROM THE REPORT.

Final boring logs developed by the consultant are based upon interpretation of field logs (assembled by site personnel), field test results, and laboratory and/or office evaluation of field samples and data. Only final boring logs and data are customarily included in geotechnical/environmental reports. These final logs should not, under any circumstances, be redrawn for inclusion in architectural or other design drawings, because drafters may commit errors or omissions in the transfer process.

To reduce the likelihood of boring log or monitoring well misinterpretation, contractors should be given ready access to the complete geotechnical engineering/environmental report prepared or authorized for their use. If access is provided only to the report prepared for you, you should advise contractors of the report's limitations, assuming that a contractor was not one of the specific persons for whom the report was prepared, and that developing construction cost estimates was not one of the specific purposes for which it was prepared. While a contractor may gain important knowledge from a report prepared for another party, the contractor should discuss the report with your consultant and perform the additional or alternative work believed necessary to obtain the data specifically appropriate for construction cost estimating purposes. Some clients hold the mistaken impression that simply disclaiming responsibility for the accuracy of subsurface information always insulates them from attendant liability. Providing the best available information to contractors helps prevent costly construction problems and the adversarial attitudes that aggravate them to a disproportionate scale.

READ RESPONSIBILITY CLAUSES CLOSELY.

Because geotechnical/environmental engineering is based extensively on judgment and opinion, it is far less exact than other design disciplines. This situation has resulted in wholly unwarranted claims

being lodged against consultants. To help prevent this problem, consultants have developed a number of clauses for use in their contracts, reports, and other documents. These responsibility clauses are not exculpatory clauses designed to transfer the consultant's liabilities to other parties; rather, they are definitive clauses that identify where the consultant's responsibilities begin and end. Their use helps all parties involved recognize their individual responsibilities and take appropriate action. Some of these definitive clauses are likely to appear in your report, and you are encouraged to read them closely. Your consultant will be pleased to give full and frank answers to your questions.

The preceding paragraphs are based on information provided by the ASFE/Association of Engineering Firms Practicing in the Geosciences, Silver Spring, Maryland.