
**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BECOME A PART OF THE SOLICITATION
AND MUST BE ACKNOWLEDGED**

**Request for Proposal RFP# 23-006 – Pavement Management System and Road Data Collection - dated
January 12, 2023**

CLARIFICATIONS:

El Paso County will only accept electronic bid proposals submitted through the Rocky Mountain E-Purchasing system. A Submittal Log will be posted after the County has had an opportunity to review and verify the submittals offered to the County.

The original Offer must be received before the due date and time through electronic package through the Rocky Mountain E-Purchasing system. The Vendor is responsible for ensuring their proposal is posted by the due date and time outlined in the solicitation document.

If the submittal arrives late, it will not be included in the electronic lockbox.

ADMINISTRATION:

- Responses should follow the Response Format on pages 27-28 and include all responses to all mandatory requirements.
- We will be verifying submittals include the following:
 - Submittal properly acknowledged (Cover Sheet)
 - Addendum acknowledged
 - Required Documentation
 - Evaluation Criteria Documentation
 - Submission Form
 - Fee Schedule
 - Completed W9

If a submittal is missing any of the above-mentioned documentation the submittal may be returned to the vendor as non-responsive and be deemed ineligible to participate.

RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS

23-006; Pavement Management System and Road Data Collection Questions-Answers

1. Q: Is the County able to house Road Data Collection data in its existing Asset Management System provided by Cityworks? Is this the intention or does the County prefer the awarded Vendor to provide an asset database to house the collected road asset data? A: El Paso County would prefer a cloud-based solution but can house the data on our own servers. The County's current AMS architecture relies on

ArcGIS Online (AGOL) as the backend AMS geodatabase. Data stored in AGOL is then pushed weekly (backed up) to the County's on-prem ArcGIS Server environment. This system was designed around the current RoadMatrix PMS system which utilizes an on-prem SQL database for PMS data storage separate from the PMS centerline which was somewhat static on the ArcGIS Server.

2. Q: How common is concrete pavement on the network? In other words, what percentage of centerline miles are JCP or CRCP? A: El Paso County does not maintain any concrete pavement.
3. Q: Once pavement data is collected, where does the County intend to store that pavement asset data? Are you open to storing the data in your GIS with the ability to automatically pull that data into the PMS? A: See Question 1 reply. PMS data is currently stored on-prem in an SQL 2019 database. Yes, we are open to storing the data in GIS.
4. Q: Can the County provide details on the role of its Cityworks solution in relation to its existing PMS solution? What is the desired role of the Cityworks solution in relation to a new PMS solution? A: The preferred PMS solution would consist of 2-way communication between Cityworks and the PMS for generating projects, work orders, inspections, and service requests although we realize only some of those items may be feasible.
5. Q: The RFP states that an "itemized invoice with backup" must be submitted prior to payment. What supporting documentation will be required for Data Collection services and delivery of Digital Images (which are paid on a per mile unit price)? A: Employee time sheets and mileage documentation for data collection and image collection
6. Q: The Consultant Information form asks if the firm is able to obtain bonding. Is a bond required for this project? A: Bonding is not a requirement for this solicitation.
7. Q: The Consultant Information form asks if the company has current and valid licenses for the services being requested. Which licenses are required at the time of submittal? A: El Paso County did not request any specific license for this project. This section is not required to be completed for this solicitation.
8. Q: Can you please confirm if you would like the software demonstration video submitted with the proposal response or will it be after that during the shortlist interviews? A: Demonstration video is be included with the response.
9. Q: Page 29 of 49. Fee Schedule. From the provided Fee Schedule table, can you clarify what is expected to be included in the "Digital Images" pricing? It is just delivery of the "Digital Images" collected or is it processes distressed data and pavement condition ratings for each section as well? A: Digital images shall include all costs associated with the item. This should be image collection and processing. Data collection and processing is a separate item.
10. Q: Could you please provide more details regarding the PQI method and how it differs from the ASTM D6433 PCI method? Are there any standardized methods/documents that reference the PQI or is this a custom index develop for El Paso County? A: Stantec RoadMatrix Pavement Quality Index (PQI) provides an overall indication of a pavement section's condition with regard to present and future service to the user. The present service to the user is reflected in the Ride Condition Index (RCI), whereas the future service is reflected in the Surface Distress Index (SDI) and Structural Adequacy Index (SAI) values. The PQI is therefore a combination of the sectional RCI, SAI and SDI values.
11. Q: Can we use Class-I profiler for collection of pavement profile and calculation of IRI? A Class- I profiler is rated higher than a Class-2 profiler and produces more accurate IRI data. A: Yes, Class I profiler may be used.
12. Q: Although the RFP says that consultants shall propose a new pavement management system, the RFP also in multiple places refers to the need for consultants to meet requirements of the existing software. Can you confirm whether the County will continue to use of Stantec's RoadMatrix software or if the County is looking to adopt a new pavement management software? A: The County is looking at all available options for the pavement management system.

13. Q: Can the county provide an example of a completed fee schedule? The current table/template fee schedule doesn't provide enough information/instruction on how to complete it. A: An example fee schedule is attached with this addendum.

14. Q: The RFP states that a website with a software demo video shall be setup. Does the County want this demo website to be available as soon as proposals are submitted? If so, where in our proposal should we include a link to this website? A: Demonstration video is be included with the response.

Signature below indicates that applicant has read all the information provided above and agrees to comply in full. This addendum is considered as a section of the Request for Proposal and therefore, this signed document shall become consideration and fully submitted with the original package.

PRINT OR TYPE YOUR INFORMATION

Company Name: _____ Fax: _____

Address: _____ City/State/Zip: _____

Contact Person: _____ Title: _____

Email: _____ Phone: _____

Authorized Representative's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Printed Name: _____ Title: _____

Email: _____ Phone: _____